THE LOUISVILLE WEEKLY JOURNAL.

VOLUME XXXI. LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, WEDNESDAY JANUARY 4, 1860. NUMBER 7.

THE WEEKLY JOURNAL

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY

PRENTICE, HENDERSON, & OSBORNE,

THIRD STREET, BETWEEN JEFFERSON AND GREEN.

SUBSCRIPTION TERMS—IN ADVANCE.—Daily Journal $10 if mailed, or $9 if paid in advance. If not paid within three months, Country Daily $5; Tri-Weekly $6; Weekly $3. Evening Bulletin $6, if mailed $5.

CLUB TERMS—IN ADVANCE.—3 Country Dailies or Tri-Weeklies for $15. Weekly—1 copy $2; 10 copies or over $1 50 each.

Papers sent by mail are payable in advance.

ADVERTISING TERMS—INVARIABLY IN ADVANCE.

In Weekly Journal—Each square (16 lines or less), first insertion, $1; each continuance.....$1 00

Each subsequent insertion.....50

ALL advertisements must be paid for in advance or assumed by a responsible person in this city. This rule will not be deviated from.

AGENTS.

KENTUCKY.

Chas. Reed, Lexington.
M. L. Ogden, Owensboro.
S. Bledsoe, Burkesville.
C. L. Keen, Ghent.
W. P. Sheets, Carrollton.
J. L. Street, Cadiz.
J. R. Greer, Danville.
Taylor & Farligh, Richmond.
E. M. Haydock, Smithland.
A. E. Shacklett, Meadville.
Thos. Harris
J. M. Worthington, Mt. Sterling.
A. Jones, Jamestown.
W. Prewett, Frankfort.
J. H. Walker, Shelbyville.
Wm. S. Vaughan, Greensburg
R. E. Burton, Versailles.
W. B. Kelley, Mt. Vernon.
L. D. Holloway, Stamping Ground.
Thos. Jones, Shelbyville.
Hiram Smith, Jr., Cowsville
Curry, Hartsbourg.
C. J. Taylor, Columbia
J. S. Hobbard, Hickman.
T. N. Montgomery, Lancaster.
J. S. Herndon, Mayfield.
Philip Berrien, Clarksville.
Lab. J. Bradford Augusta.
J. B. Nuckols, Lewisburg.
R. T. Glass, Henderson.
J. H. Chandler, Campbellsville.
R. H. Almon, Fredonia.
Pinkard Reed, Madisonville
H. Collins, Scottsville.
M. Berry, Russellville.
Wm. Barnes, Frankfort.
Henry co.
E. D. Rogers, Smithland.
H. McHenry, Rockport, Ohio county.
W. Owen, Glasgow.
E. H. Haycraft, Elizabethtown.
Jas. M. Shrewsbury, Big Spring P.O., Shelbyville.
Wm. Lee, Shepherdsville.
M. M. Hay, Greenville.
W. M. Bricken, Lebanon.
Capt. Cox, Grand Rivers.
Peter & Drane, New Castle.
J. P. Calhoun, Springfield.
W. H. Hagelin, Middletown.
W. H. Hagelin, Middletown.
Jas. Scott, Attica.
J. F. Cail, Mt. Sterling.
C. A. Hanson, Winchester.
Hamner, Brownsville.
B. K. Ellis, Georgetown.
B. F. Martin, Crockett's Cave.
A. Burton, Perryville.
Edwin Richards, Kenova.
H. Clay White, Burlington.
J. P. Bowles, Bertram Mills
T. B. Kemp, Owensboro.

☞ The above gentlemen are authorized to receipt for money due us for subscriptions to our paper.

MONDAY, DEC. 26, 1859.

☞ The Union Meeting at New York last Monday night, of which we afford scattered glimpses in another column, was in all respects the greatest and most inspiring demonstration of the sort which has yet taken place. In numbers, in the constituencies of the speakers, in the tone and quality of the speeches, and in the wonderful enthusiasm with which they were received, in these and in all other particulars, the meeting was a magnificent triumph for the South and the Union. As to numbers, the staid Journal of Commerce pronounces it "the largest public meeting ever held in the city of New York or on the American Continent." The entire Empire State was represented by the speakers; and never were speeches more nobly and felicitously suited to the occasion, or echoed back from the hearts of a multitude with more intoxicating fullness. Everything was in the highest and most perfect harmony with the avowed purpose of the meeting, "TO VINDICATE AND PERPETUATE—JUSTICE TO OUR SOUTH." The demonstration was not only a live one, but its significance is of the broadest character; it stands forth as the expression of the convictions and sentiments not of the masses of New York alone but of the whole North. It is the frank and thrilling utterance of "the sober second thought" of the Northern people. As such we commend it with earnest confidence to the people of the South. Let us all rejoice in the dawning of a fairer day for our country.

All the reported speeches of this mighty gathering were, as we have intimated, entirely admirable; but there was one which, if possible, we must lay before our readers in Wednesday's paper. We mean the speech of Washington Hunt. This speech it seems to us met all the requirements of the occasion with a splendid and unequaled completeness. A more glorious exhibition of ripe political wisdom, statesmanlike courtesy, and all-embracing public spirit can hardly be imagined. Nothing in the range of political literature we know would afford our readers greater delight than to peruse it.

☞ The Cincinnati Enquirer exemplifies most completely the position assumed by our neighbor of the Louisville Democrat, that his party has no strength at the North. The entire body of the free State Locofocos, horse, foot, and dragoons, have rebelled, and are on their way to the Abolition camp. The sectional character of the party has been developed to the world in such a manner that there can be no further equivocation or concealment. Its Northern wing is for squatter sovereignty and its Southern wing for secession, and there is not a feeling, sympathy, or principle in common between them. The bitter but long repressed party feud has at last broken out; the North begins to feel the domineering spirit of Southern Democrats; and the Southern Democrats, having played out the game, to the last card, of having Northern allies, with Southern principles, have now prepared to arm and equip their militia, to resist the election of any Northern man to the Presidency. The alternative is presented, a Southern man for the undivided union in 1860 or a Southern man for a disrupted Southern confederacy. The Enquirer, which has heretofore growled like a spaniel under the kicks and blows received from Senators Green and Slidell, plucks up a little courage and says:

We regard the composition of the Senate committees as an unmitigated political outrage. The chairmanship of every committee of any importance was given to a Southern Democrat. No Democrat from a free State was assigned any leading position. The whole programme was sectional in the extreme. The Southern Democrats would neither join, compromise, nor organize in the line appropriating to themselves all the political honors of the Senate. While the Democrats are opposing the Republican party, it does not become them to look well to see them fill all the Senate committees up with chairmen taken from one section of the Union. The free States have Democratic Senators that are well qualified, both by ability and experience in that body, for the highest positions, and there is no excuse for such an unequal arrangement. The designers of that programme could not have assisted the Black Republicans more effectually than they have by ignoring the existence of Democratic Senators from the free States.

What do these mutterings portend, except that the Democratic party is rent by sectional factions and is no longer—if it ever was—national and conservative in its scope. When the Northern Democrats charge that "the composition of the Senate committees is an unmitigated political outrage;" that the "whole programme is sectional in the extreme;" that the Southern Democrats have been guilty of "appropriating to themselves all the political honors of the Senate," and "ignoring the existence of Democratic Senators from the Free States"—it is self-evident that the great party aggregated from many varying and widely differing shades of politics, under the leadership of Andrew Jackson, has accomplished its mission and its destiny, and is now a thing of historical record and reference, and not of real existence. The irrepressible conflict—which was the weapon of Calhoun, the Jupiter Tonans of the dead party, though Seward has attempted to play with the thunderbolt—has developed itself, in arraying Southern Democracy against Northern Democracy, and as the natural adjuncts we hear the vulture screams of secession at one end of the Union, and the raven wing flapping Abolition at the other. The storm has come for a conservative movement to check these insane proceedings—the MAN, in God's providence, will soon be selected to guide the country safely from out the dangers which thirty years of Democratic domination have created around us.

☞ Thomas De Quincey is dead at the age of seventy-three, and his name will forever be distinguished in the annals of British literature, though it was an American publishing house which exhumed his writings from the English periodicals, where they had remained uncollected for over thirty years. His "Logic of Political Economy" is his only book; all his other works were fragmentary, for even the "Confessions of an English Opium Eater" appeared serially in the London Magazine thirty-eight years ago. Some twenty-one volumes of his compositions have been collected, comprising a larger range of intellectual versatility than ever has before been developed in one single brain, and he has died before his powers were in the least impaired, though he has suffered from bodily disease patiently for many years. His biography will, we trust, be compiled by some competent hand, for no man ever lived who conquered so much over appalling impossibilities.

☞ Shakspeare tells us of the impossibility of wellowing naked in December's snows by bare remembrance of the Summer's heat. But when the editor of the Florida says he received from a lady on the 12th instant "a rich dandelion, a large fragrant rose, a bunch of modest violets and winter pinks, luscious pomegranates, a cluster of grapes, some very fine figs, fully ripe and of the second crop, a full grown pear, also of the second crop, and a ripe specimen of the Japan plum, with a cluster of the same fruit in bloom," all raised in the open air, we feel a presentiment that the winter will soon be over and Spring return to us with her lap full of fruits and flowers.

☞ The "Impending Crisis" has arrived; Christmas is here, and now let every man be a Harper to his poor neighbor.

It is high time, however, that the Southern people should make some manifestation of their attachment to the Union.—*Phil. News.*

In reason's name what more evidence of her attachment to the Union can the South make than she has already furnished.—*York. Gazette.*

Let us not weary of well doing. The conservative North is rebuking Northern fanaticism, and the conservative South is asked to say that they do not endorse the sentiments of those who desire the reopening of the African slave trade for discussion. We Southern conservatives need not go out of our way to do this. We have but to call all our meetings "Union meetings" and the thing is accomplished; the good seed was planted years ago; the harvest is but to be garnered.

☞ John G. Davis, of Indiana, was a favorite with a portion of the Democrats for Speaker of the House of Representatives. A year or so since, he made a speech at Indianapolis in glorification of the re-election of Douglas to the Senate, in which, after soothing the Administration of Buchanan most severely, he said: "In the next Presidential contest, the candidate of any party who shall place himself upon a platform approving or endorsing the Dred Scott decision cannot carry a single township in a single county in a single State north of Mason and Dixon's line." This is the stuff of which Northern Democracy is composed.

☞ The speech of Dr. Johnson, of McCrarkan, in the State Senate, announcing the death of Linn Boyd, was a very eloquent and touching effort. Major Bertram's tribute to the memory of Mr. Boyd in the House is universally praised for its force and beauty. These two gentlemen are among the very oldest and most efficient members of the present Legislature; either of them would adorn a seat in the Congress of the Union.

☞ The Republican papers at the North and the Democratic papers at the South sneer at the Union meetings. This is clear evidence that the golden mean is between the two extremes of party.

☞ Scott and Houston were not nominated by the Union meeting at New York, but at the outside meetings composed of those who could not gain admission to the building in consequence of the immense crowd.

☞ The Washington States thinks the secession of the radical students from Philadelphia was "a significant demonstration." We think so too—exceedingly significant.

THE NEW YORK UNION MEETING. — Our New York papers came fully freighted with reports of the great Union meeting held in and about the Academy of Music Hall, New York, on Monday evening. Mayor Tieman presided, and at the conclusion of his remarks upon taking the chair, said, referring to the position taken by President Jackson, in the time of the nullification and secession heresy: "I feel, and I believe every American feels, to-day throughout his heart the saying of Andrew Jackson in times like the present, particularly, that 'this Union must and shall be preserved.'"

The resolutions adopted were presented by Jas. Brooks, and embraced the following, which appear to cover the whole ground:

That we protest against and disavow, as contrary to the plighted faith on which the Constitution was established, all acts or inflammatory appeals which tend to weaken the ties by which the Union is perfect, or to jeopard or distort its domestic tranquility, or to mar the spirit of harmony, compromise, and concession upon which the Union was formed by our fathers, when, by mutual concessions, and obnoxious legacies we have in these compacts, laws, and adjudications.

That we regard the recent outrage at Harper's Ferry as a natural result of the teachings of the Black Republican party, and we call upon the whole country to unite in the stern and unanimous determination to visit with the full penalty of the law all who have looked down on the congregated wisdom of the capitol.

☞ We find at the last moment that we shall have to exclude everything else to make room for the speech of Washington Hunt. We do not however repent our promise; nor will our readers regret its performance.

☞ It will be seen from the card of Cassius M. Clay in another column that he proposes to discuss the principles and aims of the Republican party at Frankfort the night of Tuesday the 10th of January next. We bespeak for him a courteous and candid hearing.

☞ Gen. Lane, of Oregon, is one of the delegates from his State to the Charleston Convention, and is under instructions to vote for himself for President.

☞ Judge Parker has declined the appointment of U. S. District Attorney for the New York District, tendered to him by Mr. Buchanan. The reasons assigned for his declension are his lucrative law practice in the Court of Appeals and his professorship in the Albany Law School.

☞ There are Low Dutch and High Dutch in Germany but they all get *high* over here.

☞ We cannot forego the pleasure of laying before our readers the following remarks from the New York Express on the great Union Meeting to which we have in the course of the last few days referred so frequently:

It was one of those grand events which will live on the page of history. Whether as regards numbers, spirit, honest enthusiasm, and downright, genuine *feeling*, we think we speak within the bounds of moderation when we say that *no such spectacle was ever seen* in the United States. Unmatched as it was *before*. There was *heart* in it. It was all earnestness from beginning to end. There was not the least color of party spirit about it; not the remotest symptom that we could detect, on the part of any who took part in it to convert it to the smallest political or personal account. And yet it so happened that men of all parties were there; men who hitherto have but seldom been seen in public together, and probably never on the same platform—but all animated now as if by a common desire to show mankind for once that when the Republic is in danger, her sons know how to come up, as one man, to the rescue. We cannot think of a single important interest, in all this vast metropolis, which was not represented in the multitude, and which did not find free utterance on the stage inside or on the platforms out in the open air.

There was an diversity of sentiment, no difference of opinion in regard to the business in hand. Never did speakers and listeners seem to me to be so in accord in demanding that the Union SHALL be preserved; that the Constitution, in all its parts, SHALL be faithfully executed; that the decisions of the courts, in reference to the institution of slavery, SHALL be scrupulously respected; that such outrages as those at Harper's Ferry SHALL be viewed and punished as crimes, against the North as well as the South; and that the idea of an "irrepressible conflict" between the two sections is upheld—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

A CARD.

To the Editors of the Louisville Journal:

WHITE HALL, KY., Dec. 24, 1859.

GENTLEMEN: Allow me to say through your Journal that I will, on Tuesday night, the 10th of January next, in the town of Frankfort, vindicate the principles and aims of the Republican party against the assaults of Vice President Breckinridge and Gov. Magoffin. Will all the papers in favor of justice and fair play copy?

C. M. CLAY.

WEDNESDAY, DEC. 28, 1859.

☞ Our readers know very exactly what we think and how we feel on the subject of the Speakership at Washington. Here, again, we are happy to know that we think and feel with the patriot Crittenden. "The subject of present deep interest to all of us," said the glorious old political hero in his speech at the Opposition conference, "is the condition of our national legislature—the failure of the House of Representatives to organize. This protracted delay presents a spectacle that is painful to the country. It is not creditable to the representatives of the people that up to this time they have not been able to assume even the form of a legislative body. The fact cannot meet the approbation or respect of the country. I do not pretend to say where the reproach of this state of things lies. The position of the so-called American members in the House has been embarrassing, and they have earnestly sought the line of duty. *It does seem to me that, knowing nothing of the purpose of the two leading parties of the House, they did wisely to nominate their own man, one of their own body, and adhere to that nomination.* They have done so. It is a question whether they shall not continue to do so. It is a question whether they shall continue to do so to the end of the contest. It may be a matter of feeling with me more than of judgment, BUT I FEEL THAT GROUND THAT IS GOOD TO STAND ON WILL DO TO FALL ON. [Applause.] But I beg that no one take this as my advice in the matter. I give none. But all things must have an end, and so must even this contest for the Speakership. When or how we cannot yet see, but it will end. Perhaps the plurality rule may be adopted, though it seems that a majority that refuses to elect a Speaker may refuse also to adopt that rule. But, after all, the contest must come to an end; and if before doing so the opposition party resolve to cease casting a multi rote, then every one must take care of himself in leaving his present ground. BUT HERE AGAIN, I MUST SAY, I FEEL THAT I WOULD LIKE TO BE THE LAST ONE TO LEAVE. [Applause.]" There, we read not say, are our sentiments, more modestly expressed.

THE JUST SUPREMACY OF CONGRESS OVER THE TERRITORIES: INTENDED AS AN ANSWER TO THE HON. STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS, ON POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY. By George Tickeor Curtis.

We are indebted to the politeness of the author for a copy of this very temperate but decisive pamphlet. We have read it with great pleasure. Though we cannot subscribe to everything it contains, we approve it heartily in the main. Its refutation of squatter-sovereignty is especially fine; it leaves absolutely nothing of the wretched heresy. This was to be expected from the Historian of the Constitution.

Not the least valuable and timely portion of the pamphlet consists of the discreet and statesmanlike reflections which adorn the more special inquiry; to which, indeed, we should add the spirit of wise and soothing moderation that pervades the whole. World that every man of intellect and distinction who addresses himself to the questions which now agitate the public mind could bring to their treatment the quiet elevation of temper as well as the sound and perspicuous judgment that marks this triumphant demolition of the cobweb fabric of squatter-sovereignty. The men capable of this, however, are rare at all times; the want of them, it is hardly too much to say, constitutes the peculiar evil of the present evil times.

Those who may wish to procure the extremely able and luminous pamphlet of Mr. Curtis will find it at Bell & Co.'s, and we should think that the people to pause and reflect upon the possible peril to their cherished institutions, will be the means, under Providence, of staying the existing excitement and preventing the occurrence of a similar character. They will resolve that the Constitution and the Union shall not be endangered in rash counsels, knowing that, should "the silver cord be loosed or the golden bowl be broken" or "at the fountain," human power could never reunite the scattered and hostile fragments.

I cordially congratulate you upon the final retirement by the Supreme Court of the United States of the question of slavery in the Territories, which had prevented an aspect so truly formidable at the commencement of my administration. The right has been established, and it is not to be lost by the property of any kind, including slaves, into the common Territories belonging equally to all the States of the Confederacy, and to have it protected there under the Federal Constitution. Neither Congress nor a Territorial Legislature nor any human power has any authority to annul or impair this vested right. The supreme judicial tribunal of the country, which is a co-ordinate branch of the Government, has settled the question, after full examination of constitutional law, so manifestly just in themselves and so well calculated to promote peace and harmony among the States. It is a striking proof of the fairness of the decision, that the non-slaveholding people, that the property in slaves has never been disturbed, to my knowledge, in any of the Territories. Even throughout the late troubles in Kansas, there has not been, to my belief, a well-authenticated, to interfere, in a single instance, with the right of the master. Had any such attempt been made, the judiciary would doubtless have afforded an adequate remedy. But the fact is, that, hereafter, it will then be time enough to strengthen their hands by further legislation. Had it been decided that either Congress or the Territorial Legislature possesses the power to annul or impair the right to property in slaves, the evil would be intolerable. In the latter event there would be a struggle for a majority of the members of the Legislature at each successive election in the Territory. The question of property held under the Federal Constitution would depend for the time being on the result. The agitation would be the same in the Congress of the United States. The territorial condition remained, and its baneful influences would keep alive a dangerous excitement among the people of the several States.

Thus has the state of the question during the intermediate period from its first settlement until it shall become a State, been irrevocably fixed by the final decision of the Supreme Court. Fortunately for the peace of the country, for the Territories, as well as the tranquility of the States. Now, emigrants from the North and the South, the East and the West, will meet in the Territories on a common platform, having brought with them their slaves as property best adapted, in their own opinion, to promote their welfare. From natural causes the slave population will in each case soon virtually settle itself; and the Territory will then be ready for admission as a State into the Union this decision, one way or the other, will have been a foregone conclusion. Meanwhile the settlement of the new Territory will proceed without serious interruption, and its progress and prosperity will not be endangered or retarded by violent political struggles.

When in the progress of events the inhabitants of any Territory shall have reached the number required to form a State, they will then proceed in a regular manner, and in the exercise of the rights of popular sovereignty, to form a constitution preparatory to their admission into the Union. After this has been done, to employ the language of the Kansas and Nebraska act, they "shall be received into the Union with or without slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission." This sound principle has happily been recognized in some form or other by an almost unanimous vote of both houses of the last Congress.

All the power of the Government have been employed and shall continue to be employed to execute the laws against the African slave trade. After a most thorough and rigorous examination of our coasts and a thorough investigation of the subject, we have not been able to discover that any slaves have been imported into the United States except the cargo to which you have referred, between three and four hundred. Those engaged in this unlawful enterprise have been rigorously prosecuted; but not with as much success as their criminal conduct deserved. A number of them are still under prosecution.

Our history proves that the Fathers of the Republic, in advocates of all other nations, considered the African slave trade as the opprobrium of civilization. It was denounced expedient by the framers of the Constitution to deprive Congress of the power to prohibit "the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit" prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight."

It will be seen that this restriction on the power of Congress was confined to such States only as might think proper to admit the importation of slaves. It did not extend to other States or to the trade carried on abroad. Accordingly, we find that on the 22d March, 1794, Congress passed "an act imposing severe penalties and punishments upon citizens and residents of the United States who should engage in this trade between foreign nations. The provisions of this act were extended and enforced by the act of 10th May, 1800.

Again: The States themselves had a clear right to waive the constitutional objection to prohibit their benefit, and to prohibit, by their own laws, this trade at any time they thought proper previous to 1808. Several of them exercised this right before that period. Georgia and South Carolina were the greatest number of slaves. This gave to Congress the immediate power to act in regard to all such States, because they themselves had removed the constitutional barrier. Congress accordingly passed an act on 28th February, 1803, "to prevent the importation of certain persons into certain States where by the laws thereof the admission is prohibited." In this manner the importation of African slaves into the United States was, to a great extent, prohibited some years in advance of 1808.

As the year 1808 approached, Congress determined not to suffer this trade to exist even for a single hour after the power to prohibit it arose. On the 2d of March, 1807, they passed an act to take effect "from and after the first day of January, 1808," prohibiting the importation of African slaves into the United States. This was followed by subsequent acts of a similar character, to which I need not especially refer. Such were the principles and such the practice of our ancestors more than fifty years ago in regard to the African slave trade.

It did not occur to the revered patriots who had been delegates to the convention, and afterwards became members of Congress, that in passing these laws they had violated the Constitution which they had framed with so much care and deliberation. They supposed that to prohibit Congress, in express terms, from exercising a specified power before an appointed day, necessarily involved the right to exercise this power after that day had arrived.

If this were not the case, the framers of the Constitution had expended much labor in vain. Had they imagined that Congress, after the year 1808, could not prohibit the trade either before or after 1808, they would not have taken so much care to protect the State against the exercise of this power before that period. Nay more, they would not have established—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE.

Fellow Citizens of the Senate
and House of Representatives:

Our deep and heartfelt gratitude is due to that Almighty power which has bestowed upon us such varied and numerous blessings throughout the past year. The general health of the country has been excellent; our harvests have been unusually plentiful, and prosperity smiles throughout the land. Indeed, notwithstanding our domestic, we have much reason to believe that the year events in our history, that we have enjoyed the special protection of Divine Providence ever since our origin as a nation. We have been exposed to many threatening and alarming difficulties in our progress; but on each successive occasion the impending cloud has been dissipated at the moment it appeared ready to burst upon our head, and the danger to our institutions has passed away. May we ever be under the divine guidance and protection!

Whilst it is the duty of the President "from time to time to give to Congress information of the state of the Union," I shall not refer in detail to the recent sad and bloody occurrences at Harper's Ferry. Still, it is proper to observe that these events, however lamentable and appalling in themselves, derive their chief importance from the apprehension that they are but symptoms of an incurable disease in the public mind, which may break out in still more dangerous outrages and involve the country in civil war. The search outrages as those at Harper's Ferry SHALL be viewed and punished as crimes, against the North as well as the South; and that the idea of an "irrepressible conflict" between the two sections is upheld—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

In the progress of events the inhabitants of any Territory shall have reached the number required to form a State, they will then proceed in a regular manner, and in the exercise of the rights of popular sovereignty, to form a constitution preparatory to their admission into the Union. After this has been done, to employ the language of the Kansas and Nebraska act, they "shall be received into the Union with or without slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission." This sound principle has happily been recognized in some form or other by an almost unanimous vote of both houses of the last Congress.

All the power of the Government have been employed and shall continue to be employed to execute the laws against the African slave trade. After a most thorough and rigorous examination of our coasts and a thorough investigation of the subject, we have not been able to discover that any slaves have been imported into the United States except the cargo to which you have referred, between three and four hundred. Those engaged in this unlawful enterprise have been rigorously prosecuted; but not with as much success as their criminal conduct deserved. A number of them are still under prosecution.

Our history proves that the Fathers of the Republic, in advocates of all other nations, considered the African slave trade as the opprobrium of civilization. It was denounced expedient by the framers of the Constitution to deprive Congress of the power to prohibit "the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit" prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight."

It will be seen that this restriction on the power of Congress was confined to such States only as might think proper to admit the importation of slaves. It did not extend to other States or to the trade carried on abroad. Accordingly, we find that on the 22d March, 1794, Congress passed "an act imposing severe penalties and punishments upon citizens and residents of the United States who should engage in this trade between foreign nations. The provisions of this act were extended and enforced by the act of 10th May, 1800.

Again: The States themselves had a clear right to waive the constitutional objection to prohibit their benefit, and to prohibit, by their own laws, this trade at any time they thought proper previous to 1808. Several of them exercised this right before that period. Georgia and South Carolina were the greatest number of slaves. This gave to Congress the immediate power to act in regard to all such States, because they themselves had removed the constitutional barrier. Congress accordingly passed an act on 28th February, 1803, "to prevent the importation of certain persons into certain States where by the laws thereof the admission is prohibited." In this manner the importation of African slaves into the United States was, to a great extent, prohibited some years in advance of 1808.

As the year 1808 approached, Congress determined not to suffer this trade to exist even for a single hour after the power to prohibit it arose. On the 2d of March, 1807, they passed an act to take effect "from and after the first day of January, 1808," prohibiting the importation of African slaves into the United States. This was followed by subsequent acts of a similar character, to which I need not especially refer. Such were the principles and such the practice of our ancestors more than fifty years ago in regard to the African slave trade.

It did not occur to the revered patriots who had been delegates to the convention, and afterwards became members of Congress, that in passing these laws they had violated the Constitution which they had framed with so much care and deliberation. They supposed that to prohibit Congress, in express terms, from exercising a specified power before an appointed day, necessarily involved the right to exercise this power after that day had arrived.

If this were not the case, the framers of the Constitution had expended much labor in vain. Had they imagined that Congress, after the year 1808, could not prohibit the trade either before or after 1808, they would not have taken so much care to protect the State against the exercise of this power before that period. Nay more, they would not have established—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

A CARD.

To the Editors of the Louisville Journal:

WHITE HALL, KY., Dec. 24, 1859.

GENTLEMEN: Allow me to say through your Journal that I will, on Tuesday night, the 10th of January next, in the town of Frankfort, vindicate the principles and aims of the Republican party against the assaults of Vice President Breckinridge and Gov. Magoffin. Will all the papers in favor of justice and fair play copy?

C. M. CLAY.

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE.

Fellow Citizens of the Senate
and House of Representatives:

Our deep and heartfelt gratitude is due to that Almighty power which has bestowed upon us such varied and numerous blessings throughout the past year. The general health of the country has been excellent; our harvests have been unusually plentiful, and prosperity smiles throughout the land. Indeed, notwithstanding our domestic, we have much reason to believe that the year events in our history, that we have enjoyed the special protection of Divine Providence ever since our origin as a nation. We have been exposed to many threatening and alarming difficulties in our progress; but on each successive occasion the impending cloud has been dissipated at the moment it appeared ready to burst upon our head, and the danger to our institutions has passed away. May we ever be under the divine guidance and protection!

Whilst it is the duty of the President "from time to time to give to Congress information of the state of the Union," I shall not refer in detail to the recent sad and bloody occurrences at Harper's Ferry. Still, it is proper to observe that these events, however lamentable and appalling in themselves, derive their chief importance from the apprehension that they are but symptoms of an incurable disease in the public mind, which may break out in still more dangerous outrages and involve the country in civil war. The search outrages as those at Harper's Ferry SHALL be viewed and punished as crimes, against the North as well as the South; and that the idea of an "irrepressible conflict" between the two sections is upheld—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

In the progress of events the inhabitants of any Territory shall have reached the number required to form a State, they will then proceed in a regular manner, and in the exercise of the rights of popular sovereignty, to form a constitution preparatory to their admission into the Union. After this has been done, to employ the language of the Kansas and Nebraska act, they "shall be received into the Union with or without slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission." This sound principle has happily been recognized in some form or other by an almost unanimous vote of both houses of the last Congress.

All the power of the Government have been employed and shall continue to be employed to execute the laws against the African slave trade. After a most thorough and rigorous examination of our coasts and a thorough investigation of the subject, we have not been able to discover that any slaves have been imported into the United States except the cargo to which you have referred, between three and four hundred. Those engaged in this unlawful enterprise have been rigorously prosecuted; but not with as much success as their criminal conduct deserved. A number of them are still under prosecution.

Our history proves that the Fathers of the Republic, in advocates of all other nations, considered the African slave trade as the opprobrium of civilization. It was denounced expedient by the framers of the Constitution to deprive Congress of the power to prohibit "the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit" prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight."

It will be seen that this restriction on the power of Congress was confined to such States only as might think proper to admit the importation of slaves. It did not extend to other States or to the trade carried on abroad. Accordingly, we find that on the 22d March, 1794, Congress passed "an act imposing severe penalties and punishments upon citizens and residents of the United States who should engage in this trade between foreign nations. The provisions of this act were extended and enforced by the act of 10th May, 1800.

Again: The States themselves had a clear right to waive the constitutional objection to prohibit their benefit, and to prohibit, by their own laws, this trade at any time they thought proper previous to 1808. Several of them exercised this right before that period. Georgia and South Carolina were the greatest number of slaves. This gave to Congress the immediate power to act in regard to all such States, because they themselves had removed the constitutional barrier. Congress accordingly passed an act on 28th February, 1803, "to prevent the importation of certain persons into certain States where by the laws thereof the admission is prohibited." In this manner the importation of African slaves into the United States was, to a great extent, prohibited some years in advance of 1808.

As the year 1808 approached, Congress determined not to suffer this trade to exist even for a single hour after the power to prohibit it arose. On the 2d of March, 1807, they passed an act to take effect "from and after the first day of January, 1808," prohibiting the importation of African slaves into the United States. This was followed by subsequent acts of a similar character, to which I need not especially refer. Such were the principles and such the practice of our ancestors more than fifty years ago in regard to the African slave trade.

It did not occur to the revered patriots who had been delegates to the convention, and afterwards became members of Congress, that in passing these laws they had violated the Constitution which they had framed with so much care and deliberation. They supposed that to prohibit Congress, in express terms, from exercising a specified power before an appointed day, necessarily involved the right to exercise this power after that day had arrived.

If this were not the case, the framers of the Constitution had expended much labor in vain. Had they imagined that Congress, after the year 1808, could not prohibit the trade either before or after 1808, they would not have taken so much care to protect the State against the exercise of this power before that period. Nay more, they would not have established—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

In the progress of events the inhabitants of any Territory shall have reached the number required to form a State, they will then proceed in a regular manner, and in the exercise of the rights of popular sovereignty, to form a constitution preparatory to their admission into the Union. After this has been done, to employ the language of the Kansas and Nebraska act, they "shall be received into the Union with or without slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission." This sound principle has happily been recognized in some form or other by an almost unanimous vote of both houses of the last Congress.

All the power of the Government have been employed and shall continue to be employed to execute the laws against the African slave trade. After a most thorough and rigorous examination of our coasts and a thorough investigation of the subject, we have not been able to discover that any slaves have been imported into the United States except the cargo to which you have referred, between three and four hundred. Those engaged in this unlawful enterprise have been rigorously prosecuted; but not with as much success as their criminal conduct deserved. A number of them are still under prosecution.

Our history proves that the Fathers of the Republic, in advocates of all other nations, considered the African slave trade as the opprobrium of civilization. It was denounced expedient by the framers of the Constitution to deprive Congress of the power to prohibit "the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit" prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight."

It will be seen that this restriction on the power of Congress was confined to such States only as might think proper to admit the importation of slaves. It did not extend to other States or to the trade carried on abroad. Accordingly, we find that on the 22d March, 1794, Congress passed "an act imposing severe penalties and punishments upon citizens and residents of the United States who should engage in this trade between foreign nations. The provisions of this act were extended and enforced by the act of 10th May, 1800.

Again: The States themselves had a clear right to waive the constitutional objection to prohibit their benefit, and to prohibit, by their own laws, this trade at any time they thought proper previous to 1808. Several of them exercised this right before that period. Georgia and South Carolina were the greatest number of slaves. This gave to Congress the immediate power to act in regard to all such States, because they themselves had removed the constitutional barrier. Congress accordingly passed an act on 28th February, 1803, "to prevent the importation of certain persons into certain States where by the laws thereof the admission is prohibited." In this manner the importation of African slaves into the United States was, to a great extent, prohibited some years in advance of 1808.

As the year 1808 approached, Congress determined not to suffer this trade to exist even for a single hour after the power to prohibit it arose. On the 2d of March, 1807, they passed an act to take effect "from and after the first day of January, 1808," prohibiting the importation of African slaves into the United States. This was followed by subsequent acts of a similar character, to which I need not especially refer. Such were the principles and such the practice of our ancestors more than fifty years ago in regard to the African slave trade.

It did not occur to the revered patriots who had been delegates to the convention, and afterwards became members of Congress, that in passing these laws they had violated the Constitution which they had framed with so much care and deliberation. They supposed that to prohibit Congress, in express terms, from exercising a specified power before an appointed day, necessarily involved the right to exercise this power after that day had arrived.

If this were not the case, the framers of the Constitution had expended much labor in vain. Had they imagined that Congress, after the year 1808, could not prohibit the trade either before or after 1808, they would not have taken so much care to protect the State against the exercise of this power before that period. Nay more, they would not have established—as it ought to be held—in utter and unqualified abhorrence.

The moral effect of such a manifestation as this, on the part of the leading city of the Union, cannot but result in much good. It will help to pour oil on the troubled waters; and, while tending to calm the misgivings in the Southern mind, will do something to convince the demagogues of the North that there is a certain point beyond which the people are determined their atrocious doctrines shall not be pushed.

In the progress of events the inhabitants of any Territory shall have reached the number required to form a State, they will then proceed in a regular manner, and in the exercise of the rights of popular sovereignty, to form a constitution preparatory to their admission into the Union. After this has been done, to employ the language of the Kansas and Nebraska act, they "shall be received into the Union with or without slavery, as their constitution may prescribe at the time of their admission." This sound principle has happily been recognized in some form or other by an almost unanimous vote of both houses of the last Congress.

All the power of the Government have been employed and shall continue to be employed to execute the laws against the African slave trade. After a most thorough and rigorous examination of our coasts and a thorough investigation of the subject, we have not been able to discover that any slaves have been imported into the United States except the cargo to which you have referred, between three and four hundred. Those engaged in this unlawful enterprise have been rigorously prosecuted; but not with as much success as their criminal conduct deserved. A number of them are still under prosecution.

Our history proves that the Fathers of the Republic, in advocates of all other nations, considered the African slave trade as the opprobrium of civilization. It was denounced expedient by the framers of the Constitution to deprive Congress of the power to prohibit "the migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit" prior to the year one th

WEEKLY JOURNAL.

FRIDAY, DEC 30, 1859.

MR. BOTELER.—A telegraphic dispatch from Washington which we published a few days ago contained the following allusion to this gentleman and his movement in favor of Mr. Millson:

Boteler intended it for a grand coup d'etat after the next ballot. But it had premature birth on the floor of the House this morning, before any ballot. The Americans outside of Congress are denouncing Boteler as sold to the Democracy.

We are assured by a friend now at Washington that this statement does injustice to Mr. Boteler, so, also, in the opinion of our friend, does the strong condemnation we have pronounced on the course of Mr. B. and his colleagues in the Millson project. "The dispatch," says our correspondent, "is entirely untrue so far as it relates to condemnation of Mr. Boteler's course by Americans. His position is a peculiar one, and I have no hesitation in saying that so far from having sold out to Locofocoism he is one of the firmest opponents of that party in Virginia. His district has 1,000 Democratic majority, and, after having been voted for by twenty or thirty Southern Democrats, I can appreciate the motives which prompted him to return that courtesy by a vote for Gen. Millson, of his own State." Though knowing nothing personally of Mr. Boteler, we have never supposed for a moment that in nominating Mr. Millson for the Speakership and voting for him he was prompted by any but the most honorable motives. Nor of course have we supposed less of his colleagues in the movement. On the contrary, we expressly acquitted them all of the slightest error of intention. "Doubtless," we said in the same number of our paper which contained the above-mentioned despatch, "such of our political friends as have become parties to this extraordinary movement are actuated by the best of motives; we do not by any means impeach their essential fealty either to their principles or to their country; but we do think they have fallen on a most remarkable way of showing it in both respects." We said this; and we repeat it now. We do think they happened on a most remarkable way of showing their fealty to their principles and to their country. Yet we do not doubt that they were essentially loyal to both; and Mr. Boteler not less so than the rest.

We do not care to enlarge on this unpleasant topic, but, since we have been forced to recur to it, we think we may properly say a word further, not that we love Mr. Boteler less, but public justice more. Certain scattered Southern Democrats having voted for Mr. B., our friend says he "can appreciate the motives which prompted him to return that courtesy by a vote for Gen. Millson." So can we, and it is because we do appreciate those motives, and appreciate them at their just value, that we hold the act of Mr. Boteler to be indefensible. Courtesy is all very well in its place, and there is ample place for it in the Hall of Representatives at Washington, but when courtesy surrenders great public interests it invades the province both of justice and of policy, and becomes a Fury rather than a Grace. It is deplorably out of its sphere. Mr. Boteler, however, may contend, on behalf of himself and colleagues, that, in this instance, courtesy did not surrender or tend to the surrender of great public interests, but to their preservation and success. Here, as we conceive, is a plain misconception of the present state of parties and of politics in this country.

Undoubtedly the two controlling questions with the people in the late elections were those of slavery and of governmental corruption. This none will dispute. As regards the first, considered from the Southern Opposition point of view, the Republicans and the Democracy hold opposite extremes, both parties being sectional, and, in this particular, balancing or nearly balancing each other; with this difference, however, that whilst the sectionalism of the Republicans we express and decried, appearing largely in their platform, that of the Democracy consisted principally in the spirit and action of the party, announcing itself in the palpable results of the party's supremacy in the Government. With respect to the second question, the Democracy, as the possessors of the Government, were alone responsible, and consequently alone assailable. Such were the issues on which the Southern Opposition Representatives triumphed last Fall, and such the aspects and bearings of those issues; hostility to the Democracy on the vital question of governmental corruption, and opposition to both the Republicans and the Democracy on the question of slavery. And the character and relations of these issues are to day in all material respects precisely what they were last Fall. The Southern Opposition in Congress, therefore, cannot side with the Democracy without betraying, in effect at least, the very cause they were elected to promote. They cannot go over to the Democracy without virtually abandoning the high interests of public honesty and of public peace. They cannot voluntarily hand the control of the House over to the Democracy without branding their own speeches before the people as the hollow appeals of demagogues and tricksters. They could do it without substantially retracting everything they have alleged and established against the Democracy, and beholding themselves wofully in the face of the whole country.

It is no answer to this to say that in asking Democratic members to support a Southern Opposition man for the Speakership we require them to abase themselves in like manner; for the fact is plainly otherwise. The attitude of the Southern Opposition men on the slavery question is confessedly acceptable to the Democracy, according, as it does, with their own formal attitude, though nobly at war with their actual but unavowed policy; whilst nobody, not even the Democracy, will pretend that either conscience or self-respect demands the choking of corruption. And these two questions constitute the ruling issues of the hour. I results from the position of parties of our current politics and parties that to the pending contest at Washington the Southern Opposition can easily call on both the Republicans and the Democracy to come to them whilst they can fully go to neither. Let, then, the Southern Opposition men stay where they are. Their ground, in the language of a late writer in Crittenden, is "good to fall on." We again invoke them to stand firm to their colors. We appeal to them to prove true to their mission. They have it in their power to conquer the ascendancy for moderate councils. They can, if they will, *force* a submission to the spirit of conservatism. Let them not fail to do it; let them not fail, as they respect the success of their principles, the welfare of their country, and the lustre of their own political honor.

What is done, we are proud to believe, has been done with the purest of motives and with an eye single to the public good; but observation and reflection must have shown to all ere now that the step was ill-judged, and, even in its partial execution, of ill effect. The manifest tendency of the movement has been and is to sink the Southern Opposition, as respects the estimation of the country at large, into the condition of mere parasites of the Democracy, thus tending not only to tarnish its character, but to destroy its capacity for usefulness. There is, indeed, too much reason to fear that the proceeding, as it is, has compromised us seriously in the judgment of the conservatives of the Union. Earnest people are not a little shocked to find the dogs of the Opposition exchanging endearments with the Democratic wolves, after having overhauled them in the Capitol under such a terrible hue and cry. The thing has a damaging look. Let it stop where it is. Let us have no more of it. For our own part, when we waged the canvass against the Democracy last summer, on the two fold ground of bonding and the issues mentioned above, we did it in good earnest; we meant everything we said; and we abide by it without qualification at this moment. We retract nothing either by word or deed. Between two such deadly enemies of the national prosperity and repose as Republicanism and Locofocoism we can take no part; we could not interfere, no matter which side we espoused, without the most painful apprehensions that we had chosen the greater evil. In a case of such thick and awful doubt it is surely the dictate of wisdom and of patriotism to spare both alike.

This we do, and shall continue to do, adding positive warfare to contempt, and, so far as principles and tendencies are concerned, neither asking nor giving quarter. We are enlisted for a war of extermination against Locofocoism as well as against Republicanism: we hold no terms with either. And we do not flatter ourselves that on this point we are one whit more resolved than our Opposition brethren at Washington are, Mr. Boteler and his colleagues included; only we hope, that, for the future, we shall all carry out the common resolution in the same direct and simple way.

☞The election of Hon. Lewis T. Wigfall to the United States Senate from Texas is claimed by the New Orleans Delta as an evidence that the triumph of General Houston in the gubernatorial canvass was the result of personal and local feelings, and no proof of the opposition of the voters of that State to the ultra Southern ideas and tendencies of his chief opponents, and particularly to the revival of the African slave trade. On the contrary, Mr. Wigfall has been identified with the slave trade movement to the extent of making in public the ablest argument which has yet been presented against the constitutionality of the laws making the slave trade piracy. Even the Delta knows that these views are deeply regret that the Delta should have no word of praise for Col. Wigfall as a national, conservative, or patriotic man, but only as "a valuable accession to the array of talent and chivalry which is already marshalled in the Senate of the United States under the Southern banner." We may, indeed, at such a time, again repeat our regrets that such men as Crittenden, Bell, and Houston are lost to the army of talent formerly prominent in the Senate under the banner of the Union.

☞The Century tells us that "Cow Boy" has departed; that Tophet of New York, the last station on the road to ruin, has been demolished, and the place that knows it now shall know it no more henceforth forever. This headquarters of vice and misery is to be converted into a playground for the children of the House of Industry. Benevolence, like Nature, thus produces out of loathesomeness and corruption new forms of beauty and worth.

☞A Wisconsin paper boasts that it is located in one of the Western States, whose chief productions are pretty girls, railroad bonds, milk and other skins, seed wheat, Sons of Malta, tax titles, corner lots, and white fish.

THE PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE.—We have read this document with the most pleasurable emotions at the idea that it is the last annual audience, save one, Mr. Buchanan can bestow upon the country. This is nothing new in its topics, consulting in its sentiments, or patriotic in its impulses. Its talks of the inestimable value of the Union which he has done so much to demoralize and disgrace. It congratulates the country upon the final settlement of the question of slavery by the Supreme Court, when he knows that there is barely a corporal's guard of his party from the North re elected to Congress who do not scout the idea of such a settlement, and renews him of interpolating this new plank of the political creed from personal malignity to Senator Douglas. He says all lawful means at its command have been employed to execute the laws against the African slave trade, when it is patent that his officials have interposed obstacles to their execution. He gives no satisfactory information concerning Mexican affairs, but desires to be clothed with plenary powers to interfere in the interstine broils of that ill-fated Republic under pretence of enforcing our treaty rights; and he talks against measures of policy as the ground that they would increase the patronage of the Executive to a dangerous extent and foster a system of jobbing and corruption which no vigilence on the part of the federal officials could prevent, when "from the byways and highways of the Government the noisomeness of corruption sends forth an insufferable stench," until his own Democratic allies have asked, "Why are the people so patient?" why slumbers the indignation of the Democracy?"

The third annual message of the last of the Jackson line of Democratic Presidents is before the country. We shall again and again have occasion to refer to it. There are some positions assumed in it to which we assent, but they have been taken for the purposes of private ambition or party success, after having been, in a measure, forced upon his Administration. While therefore we agree with some of his views and recommendations, there is such a chilly spirit of hostility in its sincerity, and such a contempt for his bold partizan lieutenants for a nomination, that we are almost inclined to surrender our convictions of right and proclaim ourselves mistaken, when we find that we must agree with him on one or two subjects of national importance.

There is a great Conservative National party already in existence, and the object of forming another would only be to divide, not to unite, the conservative force of the country. The Democratic party in the whole country is larger to day than the Republican party. All they have to do is to bring to additional strength in the Northern States. This, we trust, will be forthcoming at an early date. The Old Line Whigs and Conservative Americans will not cling to the decay which is certain that the safety of the country requires them to be used for the defeat of sectionalism.

This is the line of argument adopted by the New York Journal of Commerce to induce Northern conservatives to aid in propping up the tumbledown party which for the last seven years has been corning the country with legislation which has brought about all the sectional agitation. We have no faith in the honesty, efficiency, or conservatism of the Democracy. Some Old Line Whigs have been induced to join its ranks and march to its music, but they are rapidly retracing their steps, and the conservative Americans look upon the whole Locofoco creed as a deception, and feel the necessity of a thorough reorganization of parties for the purpose of putting down ultraism. There is no possibility of the Democrats receiving "a little additional strength in the Northern States," for they are drifting faster and faster into the Republican ranks at each election. The strongest Democratic States ten years since are now the most enthralled in their Republicanism, and the Northern Democrats have no straught but that which clings around the Federal offices, and is kept up by the custom houses, post offices, mints, armories, &c. As soon as a Democrat North is out of office, he is for Republicanism. The attempt to keep the Northern Democracy from the open embraces of Freesoil was made by Douglas in his Kansas-Nebraska bill, and has signally failed—not only failed, but it produced the very effect which it was hoped would be averted. The Van Burens, Stevensyers, and Cochranes after defeating Douglas, have come back to the party of which all their garments redolent of the black fumes of the incense they had offered up to the shrine of anti-slavery, and are now in full communion again, while they have never resented their heresies or denied their Freesoil sentiments. They are all professing Democrats with abolition principles, and they all came in through the big horn of the Kansas Nebraska dilemma, while their Southern allies managed to squeeze in through the little end of the same horn.

There is no nationality in the Democratic party. It contains no Northern men with Southern principles and no Southern man who can sympathize with the Northern interpretation of its platform. Toombs and Douglas, Jeff Davis and Pugh, Iverson and Bigler, are as discordant in their political views as if they belonged to antagonistic parties. But the great need of the country now is the formation, upon broad and practical grounds, of an anti-Democratic, Conservative National party, to cultivate a deeper feeling of attachment to the laws, the Union, and the Constitution; to arrest political station only to the worthy, and to break down the monster spirit of faction, which is now sifting the land with absolute and converting our legislative halls into mere political debating societies. There are materials for such a party at the North, South, East, and West; recent efforts have been made to concentrate them, and they must all fail before the Democratic party can have the influence of even the neutrality of the Old-Line Whigs and Conservative Americans. The Journal of Commerce is a sly old fox, but, having lost its candid appendage, it cannot cook all the rest of animated nature to adopt as a fashion, what was the result of its having been caught in the Kansas Nebraska steel-trap

☞The editor of the Louisville Democrat thus handsomely notices the book of our senior: "We are indebted to the author for a copy of this spark ling work. It is unnecessary to commend, to this community, the genius of the Reporter, as it is either charmed or irritated them for years. It is Mr. Prentice's forte, and in a controversy he will handle it with the skill and address with which a practiced fencer, with his silent rapier, feeces his antagonist, floundering under the weight of a more unwieldy weapon. Mr. Prentice is an adroit critic; his stabs are scientific and generally touch a vital point, if his antagonist is not invulnerable, like ourselves. There is no accident, no carelessness or want of grace in it. It is, perhaps, the best collection of pure wit and sarcasm in the English language, and will be a higher standard of excellence in it as long as it shall last." We take the liberty, in the absence of him whom it more especially concerns, to thank our neighbor warmly for this generous appreciation.

☞We are asked by a correspondent "what would be the result of electing a Speaker by a plurality vote, and then moving to appoint the standing committees by ballot?" The standing rules of the House provide that all committees shall be appointed by the Speaker, unless otherwise specially directed by the House, in which case they shall be appointed by ballot; and if, upon such ballot, the number required shall not be elected by a majority of the votes given, the House shall proceed to a second ballot, in which a plurality of votes shall prevail; and in case a greater number than is required to compose or complete a committee shall have an equal number of votes, the House shall proceed to a further ballot or ballots. Under this rule, our correspondent will see that a plurality, able to elect a Speaker, would have the power to select all the committees, so that the duties of electing a Speaker and placing "a barren sceptre in his hand" will not pick the dead-lock at Washington.

☞The threats of the Congressional fire-eaters to secede in the event of the election of any one to the Speakership but a member of the corrupt Democracy excites nothing but contempt, ridicule, or indignant protests from the Southern press generally. The most active fomentors of these treasonable threats are the Washington States and the Washington Constitution, which hope to produce such divisions in the House as may lead to their receiving a share of the public printing. The Nashville Patriot thus responds to the treasonable advice of the former of these papers that the Southern members shall withdraw from Congress in the event of the election of Mr. Sherman to the Speakership:

We protest against the idea that the election of John Sherman, or any other Republican, will decide the "future of the institution of slavery." The "institution of slavery" is not to be affected by any such result as that. It is sustained by the strongest guaranties of the Federal Constitution, and will be a thing to benefit generations of happy citizens of our sunny land long after Sherman and the contest for the Speakership shall have passed into the memories of men. It is the idlest twaddle to make such assertions as that of the States and Union. No one is deceived by them—no good citizen is alarmed by them. They are what is to be characterized the contest of 1855. The election of Banks was to play the very deuce—It was to be the entering wedge to the smudering of the Union. Banks, though the regular nominee of the Democrate, was elected Speaker, and not a single convulsion took place. The great heart of the Union beat on as if nothing had happened, sending to the extremities of the system the circulation, and maintaining the ruddy health of the body politic. The prophets of evil were proved to be no prophets at all; but only croaking ravens.

☞NOTHING HAS CHANGED MORE THAN IN THE TREATMENT OF THE SICK.—But a little while ago bleeding, blisters, and nauseous, evil mixtures formed the staple of medical treatment. It was customary to give large doses of the most active and poisonous medicines, and not unfrequently a man's reputation depended on the success of his doses. Among the people cathartics and emetics were common, and a dose of pills was the prescription for almost every ailment. Still almost.

There was an undefined idea that disease was a material something which had unaccountably got into a man, and must be physicked, bled, blistered, or puked out of him. Pain was a material entity, a bed-fellow, which must be exercised and cast out.

But all this is now bravely altered. The mildest means are most popular. The latest and blister plaster are almost unknown. All the torturing subjects of old-school practice are mainly laid aside, and nature, not physic, is relied upon, while physicians now often boast how little medicine they give. Homœopathy alone has much to do in thus changing public sentiment. Her mild doses and measures, and above all the sentiment of mildness breathed in her practice, and its eminent success, have forced all other practice to follow in their measures and a repulsion to the old practices. Among those who have contributed to this change Prof. Humphreys stands pre-eminent. His extensive and vertuous labors in the introduction and introduction of his specific Homœopathic remedies, and their unquestioned success, have demonstrated practically the curability of disease by the mild sugar pill dose, while he has gained an enviable reputation by the success of his system. The arguments of Homœopathy may or may not be sound, but its practical success in the form of specifics is beyond question, and its influence in forming a better public sentiment not less decided. j2 d&wl

XXXVIITH CONGRESS—FIRST SESSION

Thursday's Proceedings.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 29.

House.—Mr. Morris, of Ill., resumed his remarks from yesterday. The great doctrine of popular sovereignty was in peril. The Democratic party is divided. The ultra Representatives from slaveholding States have abandoned it. It was not disputed that the Circinnati platform recognizes it, but this it seems makes no difference. The President and other Democrats have torn up the planks and interpolated new ones, and drove the political crew fearfully to the precipice.

This was inaugurated last session with the infamous Lecompton swindle. The President pursued those who opposed it, especially from Illinois, with personal malignity, while he was bidding for the nomination of the Charleston Convention. Judge Douglas is the only man North who has succeeded in the general election since the crusade was commenced, and he fought hand to hand with the cohorts of Lincoln, and the hungry President's pack, cheered on by Attorney-General Black. A good Democrat had been removed in Illinois and Abolitionists put in their places.

The Democrats who refused to bow to the dictation of the President were pursued with ballish malignity. His said Cook, postmaster at Chicago, was the President's manager to get up delegates to send to Charleston. The address he issued was prepared at Washington, for Cook can scarcely read and cannot spell a sentence of the English language correctly, but who understands butter the mixing and drinking of mint julops. He gave notice that the Democrats of the West at Charleston will not submit to new tests.

Mr. Millson referred to the remarks of Mr. Morris, saying the latter seemed to imply that Southern men were in favor of reopening the African slave trade and repealing the neutrality laws. He never joined within their limits to Minnesota. Also a bill making an appropriation for building a military post near the 49th degree of north latitude in or near the valley of the Red River of the North. Also a bill making an appropriation for erecting a building in St. Paul, Minnesota, for a custom house and proper feeling of attachment to the law, the Union, and the Constitution; to arrest political station only to the worthy, and to break down the monster spirit of faction, which is now sifting the land with absolute and converting our legislative halls into mere political debating societies. There are materials for such a party at the North, South, East, and West; recent efforts have been made to concentrate them, and they must all fail before the Democratic party can have the influence of even the neutrality of the Old-Line Whigs and Conservative Americans. The Journal of Commerce is a sly old fox, but, having lost its candid appendage, it cannot cook all the rest of animated nature to adopt as a fashion, what was the result of its having been caught in the Kansas Nebraska steel-trap

IN NOTHING HAS CHANGE BEEN MORE THAN IN THE TREATMENT OF THE SICK.—But a little while ago bleeding, blisters, nauseous, evil mixtures formed the staple of medical treatment. It was customary to give large doses of the most active and poisonous medicines, and not unfrequently a man's reputation depended on the success of his doses. Among the people cathartics and emetics were common, and a dose of pills was the prescription for almost every ailment. Still almost.

There was an undefined idea that disease was a material something which had unaccountably got into a man, and must be physicked, bled, blistered, or puked out of him. Pain was a material entity, a bed-fellow, which must be exercised and cast out.

But all this is now bravely altered. The mildest means are most popular. The latest and blister plaster are almost unknown. All the torturing subjects of old-school practice are mainly laid aside, and nature, not physic, is relied upon, while physicians now often boast how little medicine they give. Homœopathy alone has much to do in thus changing public sentiment. Her mild doses and measures, and above all the sentiment of mildness breathed in her practice, and its eminent success, have forced all other practice to follow in their measures and a repulsion to the old practices. Among those who have contributed to this change Prof. Humphreys stands pre-eminent. His extensive and vertuous labors in the introduction and introduction of his specific Homœopathic remedies, and their unquestioned success, have demonstrated practically the curability of disease by the mild sugar pill dose, while he has gained an enviable reputation by the success of his system. The arguments of Homœopathy may or may not be sound, but its practical success in the form of specifics is beyond question, and its influence in forming a better public sentiment not less decided.

Friday's Proceedings.

WASHINGTON, Dec 30.

Senate.—Mr. Rice, of Minnesota, introduced a bill amending the provisions of the act to enable the people of Minnesota to form a State government preparatory to admission into the Union. Also a bill making an appropriation for building a military post near the 49th degree of north latitude in or near the valley of the Red River of the North. Also a bill making an appropriation for erecting a building in St. Paul, Minnesota, for a custom house and proper feeling of attachment to the law, the Union, and the Constitution; to arrest political station only to the worthy, and to break down the monster spirit of faction, which is now sifting the land with absolute and converting our legislative halls into mere political debating societies. There are materials for such a party at the North, South, East, and West; recent efforts have been made to concentrate them, and they must all fail before the Democratic party can have the influence of even the neutrality of the Old-Line Whigs and Conservative Americans. The Journal of Commerce is a sly old fox, but, having lost its candid appendage, it cannot cook all the rest of animated nature to adopt as a fashion, what was the result of its having been caught in the Kansas Nebraska steel-trap

IN NOTHING HAS CHANGE BEEN MORE THAN IN THE TREATMENT OF THE SICK.—But a little while ago bleeding, blisters, nauseous, evil mixtures formed the staple of medical treatment. It was customary to give large doses of the most active and poisonous medicines, and not unfrequently a man's reputation depended on the success of his doses. Among the people cathartics and emetics were common, and a dose of pills was the prescription for almost every ailment. Still almost.

There was an undefined idea that disease was a material something which had unaccountably got into a man, and must be physicked, bled, blistered, or puked out of him. Pain was a material entity, a bed-fellow, which must be exercised and cast out.

But all this is now bravely altered. The mildest means are most popular. The latest and blister plaster are almost unknown. All the torturing subjects of old-school practice are mainly laid aside, and nature, not physic, is relied upon, while physicians now often boast how little medicine they give. Homœopathy alone has much to do in thus changing public sentiment. Her mild doses and measures, and above all the sentiment of mildness breathed in her practice, and its eminent success, have forced all other practice to follow in their measures and a repulsion to the old practices. Among those who have contributed to this change Prof. Humphreys stands pre-eminent. His extensive and vertuous labors in the introduction and introduction of his specific Homœopathic remedies, and their unquestioned success, have demonstrated practically the curability of disease by the mild sugar pill dose, while he has gained an enviable reputation by the success of his system. The arguments of Homœopathy may or may not be sound, but its practical success in the form of specifics is beyond question, and its influence in forming a better public sentiment not less decided.

Thursday's Proceedings—Continued.

WASHINGTON, Dec 29.

House.—Mr. Morris, of Ill., resumed his remarks from yesterday. The great doctrine of popular sovereignty was in peril. The Democratic party is divided. The ultra Representatives from slaveholding States have abandoned it. It was not disputed that the Circinnati platform recognizes it, but this it seems makes no difference. The President and other Democrats have torn up the planks and interpolated new ones, and drove the political crew fearfully to the precipice.

This was inaugurated last session with the infamous Lecompton swindle. The President pursued those who opposed it, especially from Illinois, with personal malignity, while he was bidding for the nomination of the Charleston Convention. Judge Douglas is the only man North who has succeeded in the general election since the crusade was commenced, and he fought hand to hand with the cohorts of Lincoln, and the hungry President's pack, cheered on by Attorney-General Black. A good Democrat had been removed in Illinois and Abolitionists put in their places.

The Democrats who refused to bow to the dictation of the President were pursued with ballish malignity. His said Cook, postmaster at Chicago, was the President's manager to get up delegates to send to Charleston. The address he issued was prepared at Washington, for Cook can scarcely read and cannot spell a sentence of the English language correctly, but who understands butter the mixing and drinking of mint julops. He gave notice that the Democrats of the West at Charleston will not submit to new tests.

Mr. Millson referred to the remarks of Mr. Morris, saying the latter seemed to imply that Southern men were in favor of reopening the African slave trade and repealing the neutrality laws. He never joined within their limits to Minnesota. Also a bill making an appropriation for building a military post near the 49th degree of north latitude in or near the valley of the Red River of the North. Also a bill making an appropriation for erecting a building in St. Paul, Minnesota, for a custom house and proper feeling of attachment to the law, the Union, and the Constitution; to arrest political station only to the worthy, and to break down the monster spirit of faction, which is now sifting the land with absolute and converting our legislative halls into mere political debating societies. There are materials for such a party at the North, South, East, and West; recent efforts have been made to concentrate them, and they must all fail before the Democratic party can have the influence of even the neutrality of the Old-Line Whigs and Conservative Americans. The Journal of Commerce is a sly old fox, but, having lost its candid appendage, it cannot cook all the rest of animated nature to adopt as a fashion, what was the result of its having been caught in the Kansas Nebraska steel-trap

IN NOTHING HAS CHANGE BEEN MORE THAN IN THE TREATMENT OF THE SICK.—But a little while ago bleeding, blisters, nauseous, evil mixtures formed the staple of medical treatment. It was customary to give large doses of the most active and poisonous medicines, and not unfrequently a man's reputation depended on the success of his doses. Among the people cathartics and emetics were common, and a dose of pills was the prescription for almost every ailment. Still almost.

There was an undefined idea that disease was a material something which had unaccountably got into a man, and must be physicked, bled, blistered, or puked out of him. Pain was a material entity, a bed-fellow, which must be exercised and cast out.

But all this is now bravely altered. The mildest means are most popular. The latest and blister plaster are almost unknown. All the torturing subjects of old-school practice are mainly laid aside, and nature, not physic, is relied upon, while physicians now often boast how little medicine they give. Homœopathy alone has much to do in thus changing public sentiment. Her mild doses and measures, and above all the sentiment of mildness breathed in her practice, and its eminent success, have forced all other practice to follow in their measures and a repulsion to the old practices. Among those who have contributed to this change Prof. Humphreys stands pre-eminent. His extensive and vertuous labors in the introduction and introduction of his specific Homœopathic remedies, and their unquestioned success, have demonstrated practically the curability of disease by the mild sugar pill dose, while he has gained an enviable reputation by the success of his system. The arguments of Homœopathy may or may not be sound, but its practical success in the form of specifics is beyond question, and its influence in forming a better public sentiment not less decided.

Friday's Proceedings Concluded.

WASHINGTON, December 30.

House.—Mr. Stanton, in reply to Mr. Boyce, said, as he understood the position of the Republican party on the fugitive slave law, there were differences among them as to what a fugitive slave law should be; and whether the provisions of the Constitution makes it obligatory on the State to provide a remedy, or whether, under the Constitution, it belongs to Congress. For himself, if it were an original question, he should hold that the power was vested in the States, but, as it is, he held to the contemporaneous construction of the Constitution, which had been acted upon by all departments of the Government and which had been acquiesced in by the people, and ought to be recognized until it could be modified in the constitutional power of Congress to pass a fugitive slave law. So far as the law of 1850 was concerned, he did not believe it was constitutional in its details. As to the mode provided for making proofs, he wented a law which would not make it possible, under color of law, the kidnapping under the color of law and the capture of persons not slaves. The judicial power of the United States is vested by the Constitution in Judges appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate, and he held further, that under the fugitive slave law authorizes a commissioner, appointed by a judge, and not by the President and confirmed by the Senate, to sit in judgment as to whether the persons arrested are slaves or not, and is vesting constitutional power where the Constitution does not authorize it. He held the law to be unconstitutional in that it deprives the party of the right of habeas corpus to test the question as to the persons in which the right to the writ is vested and whether it is conformable to law, and whether or not there is no pretext to put into slavery a free man. He knew in point of fact that the law is grossly abused in this city, and that free colored men were constantly kidnapped under color of its provisions. He knew a person in Ohio who had received a letter asking him to give a description of free negroes, the writer saying that he wanted to find a master for them. Hence if horrible arise.

Mr. Cox, in the course of his remarks, referring to Mr. Stanton, said that he was of the opposite sex of the dominant section. That he was a mahogany Whig, slightly varnished over with Republican ism. The Republican party is all sectionalism when you go to Ohio, but here it attempted to make a country believe it was national, to give it the odor of nationality.

Mr. Stanton claimed to understand what the Republican party has as well as his colleague. He was quite as much an exponent of the principles as his colleague was. He was a member of that party in good standing. His colleague mistook when he said that the Republicans of Ohio are sectional. That Ohio is devoted to the Constitution as it was framed and construed for sixty years after it was framed, and will make their platform and for its support quote the opinion of the fathers of the Republic.

Mr. Killinger, of Pa., said he had heard Republicans declare their position which is one of the people's Representatives, did not at all limit indorse. He thought it time to say that he and those elected with him on that ticket came from the Democratic ranks entirely distinct from a disturbance of law. Twenty-two out of the twenty-five members from Pennsylvania owe their seats principally to their principles of protection to American industry, as connected with the question of slavery extension. Let gentlemen follow out the suggestions of the President, and give us specific instead of ad valorem duties. They need not fear that the opposition can make political capital to disturb the compromises of the constitution or the laws as they stand on the statute book. No party could carry Pennsylvania without recognizing the principle of protection to American industry. Let it be incorporated, and unless they name candidates whose views are living illustrations of these principles they would fail. He spoke for the laboring and mining interests of his State. The members from South Carolina could have peace if he would extend the olive branch and give us specific duties on coal and cotton. [Laughter.]

Mr. McClernand stated that the position of the Republican party in Illinois did not agree with that stated by Stanton.

Mr. Reagan replied to Killinger, telling him he had better part company with the Republicans, if he came to the call for the Republican Convention at Chicago, nothing was said about a tariff for the protection of American industry; and asked if he did not know that the New York Evening Post, the organ of the Republican organization, had warned the Republicans that if they put the tariff into the platform it would be blown to the winds of heaven. You had better flee from the wrath to come. [Laughter.]

Mr. Killinger—The New York Evening Post is not the owner of the Pennsylvania Whigs. We ignore and spit upon his doctrine, and unless the Republican Convention at Chicago shall recognize the protection of American industry in its platform, or place upon that platform a candidate who has a long illustration of principle, satisfactory to the people of Pennsylvania, he will not get the electoral vote of our State.

A colloquy ensued on the subject of the tariff bill of 1857 and the action of the Republicans and Democrats thereto, in which Hamilton, Montgomery, Killinger, Robison, and Orwell, of Pennsylvania, participated. The discussion was in quite good humor, and sometimes two or three were addressing the Chair at once.

Mr. Montgomery alluded to Mr. Seward as the Republican candidate for the Presidency.

Mr. Killinger said that was a misrepresentation. Mr. Seward was not their candidate for President. Pennsylvania had a candidate within her own borders, Mr. Seward was only their candidate.

Mr. Montgomery—Would you vote for him?

Mr. Killinger—If he stands upon the principle of the protection of American industry we shall support him.

During the debate much was said about the disastrous effects of the tariff upon the interests of Pennsylvania, and that the iron manufacturers had been compelled to close their works, in allusion to which several members from that State were addressing the Chair simultaneously.

Mr. Vallandigham, of Ohio, remarked that every Pennsylvania furnace appeared to be in full blast. [Laughter.]

Mr. John Cochrane, of N. Y., with the consent of Mr. Boyce, who had retained the floor during these interruptions, suggested that the House should proceed to ballot for a Speaker.

Mr. Stanton said that the House had been balloting for a Speaker for four weeks, and it was evident that additional balloting would amount to nothing. He believed that the House would never be organized except by the adoption of the plurality rule. Several gentlemen have in their heads the balance of power and knew how they would vote under the plurality rule. What did they do now? He hoped a resolution would be adopted fixing a day when they would vote under the plurality rule.

Mr. Winslow moved to adjourn till next Tuesday, giving the members time for reflection.

Mr. Clark said that after Tuesday he should press a vote on his resolution respecting the fitness of the endorsers of Helper's book for the Speakership; that this had the priority over the resolution about the plurality rule, and he desired a vote upon it before the election of a Speaker.

Mr. Hickman, of Pennsylvania, said that there were several motions pending which had the precedence over Mr. Clark's resolution. He had his pending for the last two weeks, a privileged question on the correction of the Journal, which, as it had been determined that all questions were open to an interminable debate, could only be brought to a vote when each should be the pleasure of the House; no election could be reached by a majority vote. It was needful for the interest of the country that the House should be organized; they had been long enough in violation of principle, and these ballotings were a mere matter of form.

Mr. Winslow remarked that in all legislative bodies there were only two parties really—one supports the Government and the other opposes it. Here the supporters of the Administration were in a minority, and therefore not responsible for the delay in the organization.

Mr. Clark, of Missouri, said that he would consider that any gentleman who would vote for the plurality rule as responsible for the election of a Republican Speaker as if he voted directly for him. It was thought that the motion interposed by Mr. Hickman were intended to prevent a vote on his resolution.

Mr. Hickman disclaimed any such purpose, and said he was made of better faith.

The question was here taken on a motion, that when the House adjourns it be till Tuesday next, and was agreed to by 116 yeas against 104 nays.

Mr. Hellman, of Ind., said that Mr. Logan on a former day had read the proceedings of a meeting held at Aurora, Ind., sympathizing with John Brown's movement, but that no such meeting was held there; the people were conservative and did not sympathize with such forays; that they would not disturb the peace of the country or any portion of it.

Mr. Montgomery, of Pa., asked leave to introduce a resolution declaring Thomas Corwin, of O., Speaker for 24 hours, at expiration of which time the power was to cease and the House proceed to the election of a permanent Speaker, and that the rules of the late House should be adopted for the government of this House, except that no member should speak for more than one hour on any question, and who should be paid; that the bill could be passed in that length of time, and then, if this contest for Speaker must proceed, they could carry on with more decency and less excitement.

Without any action the House then adjourned, by one majority, to Tuesday next.

WENDELL PHILLIPS AND THE HARPER'S FERRY INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE.—We hear it intimated that Wendell Phillips will be summoned to Washington to testify before the Harper's Ferry Investigating Committee of the U. S. Senate. Mr. Phillips, however, has publicly stated that he had no knowledge whatever of the plans of John Brown in his Virginia invasion.

☞The document just spread before the country by Mr. Buchanan may be suitably characterized as the meanest message of the meanest President.

☞The Washington correspondent of the Cincinnati Gazette says under date of Friday:

If ever the House be organized a prominent Republican Representative from Ohio will demand of Mr. Cobb, the Secretary of the Treasury, why he has not long since by investing the $22,000,000 Treasury notes, now overdue, so that the people may not be deceived as to the cost of the Administration, not be credited with the payment of a large part of the claims. This must be done before the deficiency bill is passed.

I am informed by an officer in one of the Departments that the process for the public printing has been so far reformed as to be so great helps. He is of the opinion that the Democrats will soon exceed the plurality rule.

☞The correspondent of the Philadelphia Ledger says:

I learn that Gov. Wise has laid before the joint Legislature over 500 letters and documents, sent him upon the subject of the Harper's Ferry invasion, with a view to examine into the matter in Richmond, and more of it in Charlestown, Va. This correspondence was wide-spread between Kansas and New England, and though mauve and drab and green of writing are scattered through some of the letters, it nevertheless implicates persons, I am told, now little suspected by the country. Had they come from a less public man, they would not have been surprised. He stated that Frederick was never strong enough in California to create any fear or cause any desire to hunt him down. The report that had gone abroad that there was a conspiracy among the leaders of the Democratic party to strike down Frederick Douglass as an infamous falsehood.

Mr. Orr, of S. C., complained of the unparliamentary and ungentlemanly conduct of Mr. Branch, of N. C., in accusing him of unworthy motives in defeating the postoffice appropriation bill.

Mr. Branch—Do you accuse me of ungentleman ly conduct?

Mr. Grow—My language was plain. I have nothing to retract.

Mr. Vallandigham said he was one of the Democrats who voted for Mr. Grow's resolution at the last session, and deemed an explanation proper. For all he had done he assumed the responsibility, and was surprised that Mr. Grow was endeavoring to creep out of it. He (Mr. V.) had voted for Mr. Grow's resolution because he was not certain of the constitutionality of the act of 1850 relative to increasing the rate of postage, but yet Mr. Grow was responsible for all the calamities attending the defeat of the bill.

Mr. Pryor said that Mr. Seward, in 1848, promulgated the doctrine of an irrepressible conflict.

Mr. McKnight, of Pennsylvania (Pryor yielding the floor), referred Pryor to the manifesto issued to the country by a portion of the Republican Congressional delegation, and which was signed by the members of the Virginia Legislature of both houses, including Brooke, in which this very doctrine of an irrepressible conflict is promulgated. Mr. McKnight said he objected to hearing what that Seward has received more honor than was due to him.

Pryor resumed the floor and both he and McKnight were speaking loudly at the same time, causing much confusion. Pryor said that the gentlemen should not, under a hypocritical and false pretense inject a speech into the body of this House. He had yielded the floor for a few moments only, and he regarded the conduct of the gentleman as another violation of obligation by Northern Representatives. [Applause.] Having concluded his remarks—

Mr. Maynard, of Tennessee, rose and said that he regarded the support given to him yesterday as an evidence that gentlemen were willing to forget past political differences. It was not for a mere party or high and patriotic purposes, rather than as a personal compliment. He dared not therefore appropriate to himself, or even to make the subject of the thanks of the House, that the further use of his name would fail to concentrate a sufficient number to organize the House on a broad national and conservative basis. He unconditionally withdrew his name.

Mr. English, of Indiana, nominated Charles S Sedd, of California, as a candidate for Speaker, who sought to be set before the country as a national man, the "future of the institution of slavery." The "institution of slavery" is not to be affected by any such result as that. It is sustained by the strongest guaranties of the Federal Constitution, and will be a thing to benefit generations of happy citizens of our sunny land long after Sherman and the contest for the Speakership shall have passed into the memories of men. It is the idlest twaddle to make such assertions as that of the States and Union.

routes from New York, Philadelphia, and Baltimore, Wasmd, Ind., are now terminated by the substitution of the baggage-masters on those routes for the agents heretofore having charge of the through mails, and the use for the results of the change have been satisfactory. The rate of compensation has been much reduced, so as to exhibit an annual saving of $19,100, as compared with the former expenditure, while the services have been secured of a class of men believed to be fully competent and faithful in the discharge of the duties which they have assumed upon themselves.

RAILROAD SERVICE.

The mails were conveyed during the last year on 25,810 miles of railroad, at a cost varying from 80 to 8875 per mile for transportation alone—the aggregate expenditures for this class of service being $2,243,974. To this is superadded the salaries of a number route agents, and messengers, amounting to $224,174 per annum.

In Canada, the compensation paid to the railroads for the conveyance of the mail is $30 per mile for day, and $40 per mile for night service, and $70 when the two services are combined. For this a separate car is furnish, which is fitted up as a traveling post office, and serves for sorting and distributing the mails by the way. If the mails are sent as ordinary freight, under the guard of either as agent of the company or of the post office, the charge is but two cents per mile when the weight does not exceed two tons per load, and for any additional weight, payment is made in the same proportion. No reason is perceived why, except upon our great trunk routes, a higher rate of compensation should be required by our Government than is paid by that of Canada.

The report discusses at length various mail routes, among which are the Portland and New Orleans mail, and the Mississippi river mails.

The Postmaster General then discusses the Pacific mails, comprising six routes, costing $2,181,697 and yielding $330,747 56. One of these routes is the overland, of which he says:

The service upon this extended route has been performed with great regularity, and generally within schedule time; but the contract has proved one of the heaviest burdens to which the department has ever been solicited. With an expenditure at the rate of $600 000 per annum, the post ages received in return have not amounted to more than $37,000 per annum, and although a considerable increase may be anticipated, the department at the expiration of six years for which the contract was entered into, will have lost from this route alone more than three millions of dollars. Feeling anxious to relieve the Treasury as much as possible from the exhausting drain, I proposed a reduction on semi weekly to a weekly service, which would have resulted in an annual saving, as compared with the present expenditure, of $240,000. In referring the question, however, to the Attorney General, he determined that in consequence of the controversy clause giving the Postmaster General power to annul the contract, he having been thus limited in this, the desired curtailment could not be legally made. The whole matter being thus placed to end the control of the department, the acting and Congress alone can furnish the remedy desired, and I cannot too earnestly recommend its early and decided interposition. If no compromise can be effected with the parties upon terms of moderate indemnity, then I would say, as an act of simple justice, that this gigantic service, which was established at the instance of Congress and is furtherance of great national objects, shall be at once charged upon the public treasury. Until a railroad shall have been constructed across the continent, the conveyance of the Pacific mails overland must be regarded as wholly impracticable. Those mails, as despatches, average less than ten ounces in weight, which, if divided into semi weekly departure, would give two and a half tons for each—thus requiring, in view of the condition of the road, one hundred mules for a single one now employed, and coining, at the present rate of compensation, six millions of dollars per annum for transportation alone, with a product of 8327 202 63

The route has not been opened to any profitability for a flight mail demonstrated, to that should war occur with any maritime power, threatening embarrassment to our ocean mails for the Pacific, the service could, and would be at once placed on its present basis. Were it otherwise, that contingency is deemed too remote to justify the continuance of so enormous a tax upon the correspondence of the country. In the event of war, the apprehension could not but be recognized as illustrating in miniature the folly of that policy which would keep our army and navy perpetually as a standing force in time of peace, while in a country the amicable relations of the republic with other nations are liable to be disturbed.

The expended terms for local mail service in the States of California and Oregon, and in Utah and Washington Territories, during the past year, amounted to $606,697 13, which, added to the cost of the routes named, gives a total of $2,693, 201 13. The appropriation for the service for this outlay does not exceed six hundred and fifty thousand dollars—thus exhibiting a postal expenditure of about $4 14 for each person, including all ages and sexes, in the States and Territories, and 60 cents of the gross annual disbursements of the department furnish postal facilities for thirty millions of people, being in the proportion of less than forty-one cents for each person. Were the inhabitants of the country east of the Rocky Mountains served by the department at the same rate of expenditure with those on the west, it would require annually the hundred and twenty-six million eight hundred and ninety-three thousand three hundred and ninety-four dollars for its support instead of the $14,964,493 35 now actually expended by it.

The operations of the New York, New Orleans, and California, Tehuantepec, San Actonio and San Diego, Great Overland, Kansas and Stock ou, Independence, Salt Lake City and Placerville, and Havana and Charleston mail routes, are commented upon at length, and in many important reductions of expenditure advocated.

MISSISSIPPI RIVER MAILS

The service between St. Paul and New Orleans was performed during the past year at an aggregate cost of $329,276. Its earnings were too inconsiderable to be the subject of serious comment. The establishment of this river route on its present basis was required by the department as early as was possible, from a thorough conviction that it would involve a most deplorable waste of its revenues. This conviction, however, was unheeded, and on the 3d of January, 1854, Congress, by a mandatory act, obliged the Postmaster General to put it into operation, irrespective of its product, and irrespective of the absence of those postal wants which the department is happy to supply. The result has fully realized the anticipations and predictions of the department. Since the passage of the act referred to, more than thirteen hundred thousand dollars have been expended in the transportation of those river mails with a return so slight as scarcely to justify the designation of the expenditure as postal in its character. It is true that the route possessed some importance, because of the through mails which passed over it; but from the completion of various railroads, these through mails are now conveyed by land, and in consequence the river route has become essentially local, and supplies only the small offices along the banks of the Mississippi.

All the large towns are or will be supplied in advance by lateral mails carried on the railroads. It will be observed that the service performed for one-fourth of the sum which it now costs, were the department permitted to contract for it by the trip. A reduction amounting to $47,213 has been made above the mouth of the Ohio, and were a corresponding reduction now effected on the lower Mississippi, the expenditure would be still greater than the revenue from the route would justify. The terms of the act, however, do not seem to contemplate such a curtailment as the altered capabilities of the service now imperatively demand. The matter is referred to as an illustration of the disastrous consequences which must follow from a course of legislation that takes from this department all discretion, and constrains it to enter into engagements which place the mail service beyond the reach of that wholesome revisory power which sound policy requires shall be constantly exercised in adjusting its operations to the ever recurring vicissitudes to which it is exposed. The power to annul a route, while continued on its present footing as to compensation, falls clearly within the category of nonpostal, I respectfully suggest the propriety of placing it, less its earnings, on the public treasury. The enormous annual expenditure already to be drawn from the postal revenues is in effect, and was probably intended to be, but a subsidy in aid of Southwestern commerce, which if needed, and the nation is willing to bestow it, should unquestionably be contributed from the national funds.

The Postmaster General recommends some changes in the foreign mail service.

It is recommended that the act of 1825, authorizing the carrying of "letters," be repealed, so as to ground that it leads to great confusion, and that private expresses do the work at lower rates than the government can afford.

It is well known that the expenses of the department for transportation have rapidly increased wi hin the last ten years. In 1849 they amounted to $4,387, 467 71; but for the year ending the 30th day of June, 1859, they reached the sum of $9,014, 4967. This has been produced by the enormous bulk and weight of the mails, which are principally due to the vast quantity of heavy books and printed documents sent under the Government frank. Mails which but recently weighed a few hundred pounds are now, from this cause, found weighing tons. The rates of postage on printed documents, &c., being but one cent for each of their several species, in fact, a tax on the letter correspondence of the country. Hence, as the great mass of the matter thus sent is not official—Government officials in printed, were it even paid for at the highest postage rates, still in precise proportion as the Government is the patron of the mails, would the service be pecuniarily unremunerative to the department. Were the "free matter" now conveyed reduced with the existing rates of postage, it is confidently believed that it would yield an annual revenue of at least one million eight hundred thousand dollars; yet for this service the department receives but $700 000, and actually expends in its performance but little, if anything, short of $3 000 000.

From the obligation of the Government to pay for the use of the mails, at the rates imposed on the private citizen, is now so manifest that the proposition has been deliberately made, and urged with much power, that the act, so far as it shall, if possible, be made retrospective. Could the amount which the Department has expended for the transportation of free matter from the foundation of the Government be ascertained—which is obviously impracticable—it is difficult to perceive on what ground a claim in behalf of the public treasury, for the aggregate of the appropriations made from the treasury for the support of the Department—could be resisted. If the principle contended for is right now, it has been so from the beginning.

Another potent reason for the abolition of this franking privilege, as now exercised, is found in the abuses which seem to be inseparable from its existence. These abuses, though constantly exposed and animadverted upon for a series of years, have as constantly increased.

Of the statistics which have been proposed, that of pre payment by stamps is probably to be preferred. It would harmonize with the existing system, and being eminently just, simple, and practicable, it could be put into immediate operation, without the slightest embarrassment. That a part of the abuses enumerated might still prevail is not denied, but the consequences would fall, as they should, on the Government by whose officials they were perpetrated, instead of on this Department, as they do at present.

While the franking privilege was enjoyed by the British Parliament, it was limited in its exercise, by the assignment of a given number of franks to each member per day, and the adjustment of this question by Congress would present a favorable moment for considering whether the public interests do not require that a similar restriction should be imposed upon the unlimited license that now prevails.

But the restoration of the department to its original independence cannot be accomplished without the adoption of another measure of justice—that of charging on the public Treasury all

ROUTES NOT STRICTLY POSTAL.

The revenues of the department constitute a special trust fund, of which the Treasury is the custodian, and the Government of the United States the responsible trustee. This fund belongs to those by whose toil it was contributed, and stands pledged to meet the wants of the postal service. The Government, as such, has no interest in it, but has simply charged itself with the duty of faithfully administering it. There could be no more distinct and emphatic declaration of the position asserted than is found in the act of 1836. The Government there fully recognizes and announces its relation to the postal fund, and, in view of this recognition, the appropriation, in whole or in part, of the revenues of the department to purposes not strictly postal, is as flagrant a breach of trust as would be the appli cation of the Sinking Fund to the improvement of a river or harbor. It is well known, however, that for a series of years the Government has been compelled to advance certain great national objects in the direction of our Pacific possessions, the entire burden of which has been imposed upon this department, though its connection with these objects is exceedingly slight and only incidental.

The $600 000 mail annually for carrying a few sacks of letters from the valley of the Mississippi to San Francisco, via El Paso, through a waste and uninhabited country, would defray the aggregate cost of mail transportation, including route agents, local agents, and messengers, in the States of Kentucky, Tennessee, and North Carolina. The post office at Salt Lake City yields a revenue of but $700, and yet to connect that office with the valley of the Mississippi and the Pacific, this department exceeded during the past year $320,000. It will be observed that the second letter from the six routes mentioned above is $1,178,629 05.

The ocean mail service to California, heretofore on the Treasury, except the cost of transit on the Panama railroad is now a charge on the department, and under the present advantageous contract, will result in a loss during the current year, of at least $1,057 31. This, added to the $1,178,629 05 will exhibit a total loss for these seven routes, of $1,229,-686 36—a sum sufficient to pay the entire expenses of transporting the mails and the salaries of route and local agents and messengers in the States of Maryland, Pennsylvania, and New York If would improve the postal facili ies in all our cities, towns, and populated districts, giving daily mails to those who are entitled to them, but who now have but a tri-weekly service, and tri-weekly to those who have but weekly accommodation. The power of steam and the electric telegraph have awakened in the most remote districts and in the most tranquil villages an energy and restlessness of social and commercial life, known, in other years, only amid great commercial emporiums of the world. As a consequence, daily mails are rapidly becoming a universal necessity, and are now insisted on as a right by the smallest communities. Hence, it has has been impossible to meet the slightest curtailment, without perfect dissatisfaction being manifested. But in presence of the statistics which have been presented, when the public complain that the postal service is not equal to the activity of their business and social relations, the cost of their service is, in fact, a tax on the letter correspondence of the country. Hence, as the great mass of the matter thus sent is not official—Government officials in printed, were it even paid for at the highest postage rates, still in precise proportion as the Government is the patron of the mails, would the service be pecuniarily unremunerative to the department. Were the "free matter" now conveyed reduced with the existing rates of postage, it is confidently believed that it would yield an annual revenue of at least one million eight hundred thousand dollars; yet for this service the department receives but $700 000, and actually expends in its performance but little, if anything, short of $3 000 000.

From the obligation of the Government to pay for the use of the mails, at the rates imposed on the private citizen, is now so manifest that the proposition has been deliberately made, and urged with much power, that the act, so far as it shall, if possible, be made retrospective. Could the amount which the Department has expended for the transportation of free matter from the foundation of the Government be ascertained—which is obviously impracticable—it is difficult to perceive on what ground a claim in behalf of the public Treasury, for the aggregate of the appropriations made from the treasury for the support of the Department—could be resisted. If the principle contended for is right now, it has been so from the beginning.

Another potent reason for the abolition of the franking privilege, as now exercised, is found in the abuses which seem to be inseparable from its existence. These abuses, though constantly exposed and animadverted upon for a series of years, have as constantly increased.

Of the statistics which have been proposed, that of pre payment by stamps is probably to be preferred. It would harmonize with the existing system, and being eminently just, simple, and practicable, it could be put into immediate operation, without the slightest embarrassment. That a part of the abuses enumerated might still prevail is not denied, but the consequences would fall, as they should, on the Government by whose officials they were perpetrated, instead of on this Department, as they do at present.

While the franking privilege was enjoyed by the British Parliament, it was limited in its exercise, by the assignment of a given number of franks to each member per day, and the adjustment of this question by Congress would present a favorable moment for considering whether the public interests do not require that a similar restriction should be imposed upon the unlimited license that now prevails.

But the restoration of the department to its original independence cannot be accomplished without the adoption of another measure of justice—that of charging on the public Treasury all

ROUTES NOT STRICTLY POSTAL.

The revenues of the department constitute a special trust fund, of which the Treasury is the custodian, and the Government of the United States the responsible trustee. This fund belongs to those by whose toil it was contributed, and stands pledged to meet the wants of the postal service. The Government, as such, has no interest in it, but has simply charged itself with the duty of faithfully administering it. There could be no more distinct and emphatic declaration of the position asserted than is found in the act of 1836. The Government there fully recognizes and announces its relation to the postal fund, and, in view of this recognition, the appropriation, in whole or in part, of the revenues of the department to purposes not strictly postal, is as flagrant a breach of trust as would be the application of the Sinking Fund to the improvement of a river or harbor. It is well known, however, that for a series of years the Government has been compelled to advance certain great national objects in the direction of our Pacific possessions, the entire burden of which has been imposed upon this department, though its connection with these objects is exceedingly slight and only incidental.

The $600 000 mail annually for carrying a few sacks of letters from the valley of the Mississippi to San Francisco, via El Paso, through a waste and uninhabited country, would defray the aggregate cost of mail transportation, including route agents, local agents, and messengers, in the States of Kentucky, Tennessee, and North Carolina. The post office at Salt Lake City yields a revenue of but $700, and yet to connect that office with the valley of the Mississippi and the Pacific, this department exceeded during the past year $320,000. It will be observed that the second letter from the six routes mentioned above is $1,178,629 05.

The ocean mail service to California, heretofore on the Treasury, except the cost of transit on the Panama railroad is now a charge on the department, and under the present advantageous contract, will result in a loss during the current year, of at least $1,057 31. This, added to the $1,178,629 05 will exhibit a total loss for these seven routes, of $1,229,-686 36—a sum sufficient to pay the entire expenses of transporting the mails and the salaries of route and local agents and messengers in the States of Maryland, Pennsylvania, and New York It would improve the postal facili ies in all our cities, towns, and populated districts, giving daily mails to those who are entitled to them, but who now have but a tri-weekly service, and tri-weekly to those who have but weekly accommodation. The power of steam and the electric telegraph have awakened in the most remote districts and in the most tranquil villages an energy and restlessness of social and commercial life, known, in other years, only amid great commercial emporiums of the world. As a consequence, daily mails are rapidly becoming a universal necessity, and are now insisted on as a right by the smallest communities. Hence, it has has been impossible to meet the slightest curtailment, without perfect dissatisfaction being manifested. But in presence of the statistics which have been presented, when the public complain that the postal service is not equal to the activity of their business and social relations, the cost of their service is, in fact, a tax on the letter correspondence of the country. Hence, as the great mass of the matter thus sent is not official—Government officials in printed, were it even paid for at the highest postage rates, still in precise proportion as the Government is the patron of the mails, would the service be pecuniarily unremunerative to the department. Were the "free matter" now conveyed reduced with the existing rates of postage, it is confidently believed that it would yield an annual revenue of at least one million eight hundred thousand dollars; yet for this service the department receives but $700 000, and actually expends in its performance but little, if anything, short of $3 000 000.

From the obligation of the Government to pay for the use of the mails, at the rates imposed on the private citizen, is now so manifest that the proposition has been deliberately made, and urged with much power, that the act, so far as it shall, if possible, be made retrospective. Could the amount which the Department has expended for the transportation of free matter from the foundation of the Government be ascertained—which is obviously impracticable—it is difficult to perceive on what ground a claim in behalf of the public Treasury, for the aggregate of the appropriations made from the treasury for the support of the Department—could be resisted. If the principle contended for is right now, it has been so from the beginning.

Another potent reason for the abolition of the franking privilege, as now exercised, is found in the abuses which seem to be inseparable from its existence. These abuses, though constantly exposed and animadverted upon for a series of years, have as constantly increased.

Of the statistics which have been proposed, that of pre payment by stamps is probably to be preferred. It would harmonize with the existing system, and being eminently just, simple, and practicable, it could be put into immediate operation, without the slightest embarrassment. That a part of the abuses enumerated might still prevail is not denied, but the consequences would fall, as they should, on the Government by whose officials they were perpetrated, instead of on this Department, as they do at present.

While the franking privilege was enjoyed by the British Parliament, it was limited in its exercise, by the assignment of a given number of franks to each member per day, and the adjustment of this question by Congress would present a favorable moment for considering whether the public interests do not require that a similar restriction should be imposed upon the unlimited license that now prevails.

But the restoration of the department to its original independence cannot be accomplished without the adoption of another measure of justice—that of charging on the public Treasury all

ROUTES NOT STRICTLY POSTAL.

The revenues of the department constitute a special trust fund, of which the Treasury is the custodian, and the Government of the United States the responsible trustee. This fund belongs to those by whose toil it was contributed, and stands pledged to meet the wants of the postal service. The Government, as such, has no interest in it, but has simply charged itself with the duty of faithfully administering it. There could be no more distinct and emphatic declaration of the position asserted than is found in the act of 1836. The Government there fully recognizes and announces its relation to the postal fund, and, in view of this recognition, the appropriation, in whole or in part, of the revenues of the department to purposes not strictly postal, is as flagrant a breach of trust as would be the application of the Sinking Fund to the improvement of a river or harbor. It is well known, however, that for a series of years the Government has been compelled to advance certain great national objects in the direction of our Pacific possessions, the entire burden of which has been imposed upon this de-

BŒRHAVE'S
HOLLAND BITTERS,

A Medicine of long-tried efficacy for purifying the blood so essential for the foundation of good health, and for correcting disorders of the stomach and bowels, such as

INDIGESTION,	HEADACHE,
HEART-BURN,	LOSS OF APPETITE,
ACIDITY,	OUS COMPLAINTS,
WATER-BRASH,	CRAMPS,
COSTIVENESS,	COLIC,
PILES,	SUMMER COMPLAINT, &c.

In Nervous, Rheumatic, and Neuralgic affections it has frequently been administered with marked success.

Two or three doses will convince the afflicted of its salutary effects—the stomach will speedily regain its strength, a healthy action of the liver, bowels, and kidneys will rapidly take place, and renewed health be the quick result.

BEWARE OF IMPOSITION! But one size of the genuine (half pint bottles) Dose a teaspoonful.

See in our name is on the label of every bottle you buy.

BENJAMIN PAGE, Jr., & CO,
SOLE PROPRIETORS.

Sold by Druggists only. Pittsburg, Penn'a.

PRICE $1.

d2 eodcow&wtiamly

Dr. Jas. McClintock's Pectoral Sirup exercises an influence over Consumption and Bronchitis unparalleled in medical practice, arresting the cough, healing and strengthening the lungs, promoting expectoration, and inducing sleep. The amendment begins from the first dose.

Price 50 ct. Sold by Raymond & Tyler. jl eodcowwlm

BRYANT'S TASTELE VERMIFUGE.

Toll the bell for pretty Nell,
All the household's pride;
She died, dear girl, of worms in the prey,
Although with medicine plied.
'Twas jalap, mercury, and gamboge
That helped her to her doom;
But Bryan's Tasteless Vermifuge
Saves thousands from the tomb.

Put up in bottles. Price 25¢ dimes. Sold by Raymond & Tyler. jl eodcowim

Dr. McClintock's Cold and Cough Mixture.—This popular family medicine was first introduced by Dr. McClintock when Dean of the Philadelphia College of Medicine. The entire profession approve it, and it is guaranteed to cure an ordinary cold or cough, catarrh, influenza, &c., with absolute certainty, in a degree of rapidity that will agreeably astonish the patient.

Price 25 cts. Sold by Raymond & Tyler. jl eodcowim

THE USUAL RESULT.

Green Island, N. Y., March 6, 1860.

Mr. W. E. Hagan: I have used your Hair Coloring with excellent effect. It fully restored my hair, which was quite gray, to its natural color; the change it has very much improved wife has also used it, and it has restored her hair, giving it new life.

Respectfully, J. I. GOODELL.

Remember that this result was produced by Hegan-street's Inimitable, the original and only reliable Hair Restorative.

Price fifty cents a bottle. Sold everywhere.

W. E. HAGAN & CO.,
Proprietors, Troy, N. Y.

d17 deod&wcowly

THE CONTRAST!

While congratulating those who have had the good fortune to be

BORN BEAUTIFUL,

I would remind those whose hair is of an odious tint by nature or grizzled by sickness or age, that by using Catharon's Excelsior Hair Dye they may, so far as the hair is concerned, in the universe be

MADE BEAUTIFUL.

The Dye has been analyzed and certified to be pure and harmless by Dr. Chilton, the most distinguished chemist in the United States.

Sold every where and applied by all Hair Dressers.

jl d&wlm Cristadoro, No. 6 Astor House, N. York.

Dyspepsia—Dyspepsia—Dyspepsia.

What is it? How Cured?

Dyspepsia is our National Disease—weak stomach, feeble digestion, distress after eating, costive habit, bilious condition. How many suffer with it and its attendant symptoms of low spirits, bad taste, coated tongue, obstructed head, and sometimes headache! Yet how few know how to cure it! Generally, because the bowels are not unclosed, resort is had to cathartics or laxatives. But such a remedial plan was never cured by cathartics, whose only office is to weaken the digestion and impair the integrity of the entire assimilative system.

But Humphreys' Homeopathic Dyspepsia Specific is a simple vegetable and sugar pill—have cured hundreds of the worst and most obstinate cases. While it does so simply by improving the tone and restoring the innate activity of the digestive organs, from which result good appetite, regular habits, a clear head, and buyant spirits. Such a medicine is a gem, and only requires to be known to be appreciated.

Price 25 cents per box, with directions. Sir boxes $1.

N.B. A fulliest of Humphreys' Homeopathic Specifics, with Book of Directions and Cures, sent free by mail.

Sold in Louisville by Chatfield & Root, 69 Fourth street, and by Jesterveldt.

d29 d6—6wcty dlw-2wcowly

Highly Important to Mothers.—Mrs. Winslow, an experienced nurse and female physician, has a Soothing Syrup for children teething, which greatly facilitates the process of teething by softening the gums, reducing all inflammation—will allay all pain and is sure to regulate the bowels. Depend upon it, mothers, it will give rest to yourselves and relief and health to your infants. Perfectly safe in all cases. See advertisement in another column.

ap27 d&wly

THE TEST OF 12 YEARS' EXPERIENCE

AS PROVED THEIR UNRIVALED QUALITY OF

H GEMAN, CLARK, & CO.'
GENUINE COD LIVER OIL.

It is pronounced by Physicians the best remedy for Consumption, Scrofula, Chronic Rheumatism, &c., ever discovered.

Caution.—The value of this remedy depends upon its purity, and in order to secure our customers a pure article one of our firm visits Newfoundland to superintend the manufacture of our oil, and we warrant it pure. See that the label has the eagle and mortar and the signature over the cork of each bottle, as there is a great deal of cheap inferior oil palmed off for genuine to the great injury of purchasers.

Ask for Hegeman, Clark, & Co.'s, and take no other as many have been cured with the genuine who have used others without any benefit.

Useful in every family—paint, grease spots, etc., removed instantly; gloves, silks, ribbons, etc., cleaned equal to new, without injury to the most delicate color or fabric, by Hegeman & Co.'s Concentrated Benzine, only 25 cents per bottle. Hegeman & Co., Druggists, 161, 399, 611, and 796 Broadway, N.Y. d1 d&w2m

SUBSCRIBERS will notice a change in the color of the slip on which their name is written when the time for which they have paid is near out. Money sent in registered letters is at our risk. del1 wlm

TUESDAY, JAN. 3, 1860.

☞ It has been justly remarked that John Brown was hung (and, we will add, rightly hung,) for a crime which Gov. Wise was the first to commit in 1856 in the event of a mere partisan triumph, and which Gov. Wise's especial friends in the South everywhere avow their intention to commit next year if the result of the election shall fail to be in accordance with their wishes.

"Tyrants the Gentile's not a scholastic word,
Which in the Soldier is that blas hemy."

That seizure of Harper's Ferry arsenal, which in John Brown was unquestionably treason, is in Gov. Wise "noble and gallant" patriotism. So say his Democratic endorsers. So echoes the Democratic press throughout the South. To-day, they lavish, in the same breath, curses upon the old man who died because he made the attempt, and fulsome eulogy upon the Governor who threatens to do the same thing himself. Hare la Virginia authority for the statement:

The Democracy of Berkley county, Virginia, have held a meeting. Mr. Chas. J. Faulkner, ex-member of Congress, presided, and in an able, conservative, and rational speech, thus showed the policy which Gov. Wise laid down in 1856:

"When that rebels are gallant son of Virginia, Henry A. Wise, declared, as was said he did in October, 1856, that, if Fremont should be elected, he would seize the National Arsenal at Harper's Ferry, how few would at that time have justified so bold and decided a measure? It is the fortune of some great and dignified minds to rise far in advance of their contemporaries. Should William H Seward be elected in 1860, when it the man now in our midst who would not call for the impeachment of a Governor of Virginia who would silently suffer that armory to pass under the control of such an Executive head."

We would on no account excuse or palliate the insane treason of old Brown and his wretched followers, but we decidedly object to eulogizing others for the avowed if a determination to accomplish for the mere gratification of political ambition or resentment what a crazy or foolish old man and his crazy or foolish followers may probably have imagined themselves to be undertaking for the service of God and mankind.

It was right that old Brown and his men should be hung as high at least as they were; it will be right, if ever Gov. Wise and his fire-eaters shall attempt to execute what they threaten, that they shall be hung at least fifty feet higher.

☞ Sectional Presidential contests are to be deprecated, but there have been two precedents when sectional feeling ran even higher than it does now. In 1796 the election, at the close of Washington's term, was extremely bitter, and John Adams received but four electoral votes south of the Potomac, and those were from personal friends, his old Revolutionary associates. The canvass was the North against the South; Adams receiving nearly the united strength of the former, and Jefferson of the latter. This was before the amendment to the Constitution, when the highest candidate was declared President and the next highest Vice President. Mr. Jefferson, presiding over the Senate from 1796 to 1800, gathered around him the nucleus of the Republican party, which, in 1800, adopted him as its candidate, when he was elected with the aid of but two or three Northern votes. This sectional contest was foreseen by George Washington, and therefore when he delivered his Farewell Address in September, 1796, nearly three-fourths of its paternal advice was devoted to exhortations to unity; to frowning down efforts to alienate one section from another or enfeeble its sacred existing ties; to the careful guarding and preserving of the whole Union; to the reciprocal dependence of the North, South, East, and West upon each other; to the avoidance of parties characterized by geographical discriminations; to cheerful obedience of the laws, and to keeping down the baneful effects of party spirit. His words, however, rank like water into sand, and from his retirement until his death he must have been pained to witness the contending passions and terrible acerbity of party which convulsed the country. As we have said, Jefferson drew around him, while Vice President, the sectional elements of the first Republican party, and, after four years' occupancy of the presiding seat in the United States Senate, he was elected to the Presidency by a purely sectional vote.

☞ Our good friend of The Guardian has allowed the genial influences of the season "of rejoicing at the spiritual advantages which are to be derived from the birth of Christ" to be unduly infringed upon by two or three untoward occurrences. Someone in the Bowling Green Gazette has critici d a lec ure of Bishop Spalding, which criticism the Guardian denounces as conrilitious, and ascribes to the writer the acrimony of a bigot, stupid ignorance, affiliation with the defunct Know-Nothing school of politics, reckless mendacity, lowest vulgarity bordering on occasional blasphemy, &c. This is excitement No. 1. Then Prof. Morse has been "mulcted in the sum of $1,500 for having sold to Mr. Spear, of Boston, a patent right to which he had no valid or legal claim." And The Guardian utters the sage apothegm that "selling what does not belong to us is rather a dubious point of morals," forgetting to tell its readers that the suit was an amicable one, to test the construction of a patent law, but not forgetting to have its fling at Prof. M. for his strong advocacy of Protestantism. This is excitement No. 2. And again: Dr. T. S Bell has published a card in the Christian Union, announcing that he was not its editor and manager, just as the "manager" of The Guardian a week or two since disclaimed the responsibility of his editorial committee for his articles. This puts a stick of caustic in the hand, gall and wormwood in the inkstand, and cayenne pepper in the imagination of The Guardian to vent paragraphs of ironical personality upon the Doctor. This is excitement No. 3. And then we have a very pretty allusion to "peace on earth to men of good will," and some hints as to "the true spirit" of the Christmas festival. The Guardian must have felt their blessed influences.

☞ When Democrats talk of secession in the event of the election of a Republican President, they do injustice to the patriotic injunctions which Thomas Jefferson gave to the country in his Inaugural speech, on March 4th, 1801. He spoke of the contest of opinion through which the Union had then just passed; its animation of discussion and of exertions which had sometimes worn an aspect which might impose on strangers unused to think freely, and to write what they think, and said: "But this being now decided by the voice of the Union, announced according to the rules of the Constitution, all will of course arrange themselves under the will of the law, and unite in common efforts for the public good." Again, as to divided opinions as to measures of safety, Mr. Jefferson placed on record these noble truths: "But every difference of opinion is not a difference of principle. We have called by different names brethren of the same principle. We are all Republicans; we are all Federalists. If there be any among us who would wish to dissolve this Union or to change its Republican form of government, let them stand undisturbed as monuments of the safety with which error of opinion may be tolerated, where reason is left free to combat it."

☞ The President recommends the passage of a law requiring all the States to elect their Congressmen previous to the fourth of March in each year of odd numbers. The situation in which he found himself last March, with nearly half the States destitute of Representatives, and the duty of being prepared for all sudden national emergencies, are the causes of the suggestion. As Congress has by the Constitution a complete control over the times, places, and manner of holding elections for Representatives, the reform might go a step further and make all Congressional elections occur on the same day throughout the Union. This would prove a check to the systems of pipe-laying and colonizing which have been so extensively practiced by politicians in contiguous States.

☞ See Dr. McCann's advertisement of Know Thyself. del4 wcow

☞ Many are of opinion that the science of medicine would be much improved by its sleeves or members devoting themselves more to specialities—that is, to the treatment of the affections of one or more organs. This Dr. Langworthy and Professor Barry have done, as regards the eyes, ears, and lungs, as will be seen by their card in another column. Dr. L. is already well and favorably known in our community, and Prof. Barry's references are numerous and highly respectable. jl d1&wl*

Useful Christmas Gifts (not toys for the girls and boys)—Consisting of extra fine Colognes, handkerchief perfumes, fine hair brushes, combs of almost every kind; American, French, and English pomades for the hair, all the reliable hair dyes, hair restoratives, and hair dressings; tooth pastes, tooth powders, and tooth washes; lotions and powders for the skin, rouge for the cheeks, remedies for chapped hands and faces, hair brushes, teeth brushes, nail brushes, and clothes brushes; every variety of soap, domestic and foreign; hand mirrors, tweezers, hair pins, &c. RAYMOND & TYLER,

dec 17 deod&wcow 74 Fourth street.

To the Bedrugged and Poisoned Citizens of Kentucky.—You are overrun with a deluge of the vilest compounds in the form of "Alcoholic Drinks" that ever emanated from the pest of society, the Liquor Mixer. They are sold to you as a luxury, or they are dispensed to you as a Medicine, and in either case the effect is the same.

There is but one way to escape, and that is to use as a luxury or a medicament a safe and reliable stimulant, sold under stamped seal, which renders it certain that it has not been tampered with. Such an article is

CHARLES'S LONDON CORDIAL GIN,

which is distilled under inspection of the British Government, is delicately flavored (unlike any other Gin) with some of the most valuable restoratives of the Vegetable Kingdom, and is by far the most healthy beverage extant.

The most eminent physicians of Europe and America not only recommend its use by the hale and hearty, but prescribe it as a medicine where a stimulant is required.

Charles's London Gin will find it not only a pleasant Cordial but a certain relief in sufferings of a periodical character.

Analytical Chemists of all ranks pronounce it perfectly pure, and its restorative merits incomparable.

Sold only in quart and pint bottles by all druggists, grocers, &c. Sold in Louisville wholesale by Wilson & Standiford, Main 2 reet. Edward C. Charles, General Agent, 49 Broadway, New York. june29 d6eow&wly

ABSTRACT OF THE REPORT OF THE SECRETARY OF WAR.

The authorized strength of the army is 18,165 men, all told. On the first of July, the date of the last complete returns, the actual number reached 17,498. Of this number there are not more than about 11,600 men available for active service in the field. This little force has been engaged with its usual activity and efficiency, since the date of the last report, in the discharge of its ordinary and manifurious duties, being required to man the 150 Government garrisons, posts, and camps, scattered over an area of three millions of square miles. The force has of course been so small as to render it impossible to give that ample and perfect protection to our whole frontier which our citizens have a right to expect, and which it is the duty of Government to afford. Indian depredations have been committed on our southern and Mexican border, which as yet have been unchastised for the want of men. Hops to make such an alteration in the disposition of the troops next season as will prevent any repetition of these outrages in future on that frontier.

It then proceeds to comment upon the many duties imposed upon the United States troops, stating that the war with the Comanches and Kiowas is still unfinished, and recommends that the enlistment of men for the mounted corps for a period of six months, beginning in May, should be authorized by Congress. This enactment, it is supposed, would secure the aid of the "best young men of the frontier" to check the incursions of hostile Indians.

It then especially urges upon the attention of Congress former recommendations in regard to the staff corps, and of the engineer and ordnance departments, and a plan for a retired list, by which disabled and infirm officers could be transferred. It also recommends that the enlistment of teamsters be discontinued.

The experiments made with camels have been very satisfactory, and the purchase of a full supply is strongly recommended.

The increase of the effective ration of the army is recommended; and the printing of the reports of Colonel Delafield and Major Mordecai of their observations on the military systems of Europe, is urged.

The following notice is made of a new system of military signals:

"Assistant Surgeon Albert J. Myer, of the medical corps of the army, having submitted to the department a system of military signals for the purpose of communicating intelligence or orders in lieu of the ordinary methods of transmitting them, a board was convened in May last to examine into its merits. The board reported favorably to the adoption of the plan for the use of the army. A series of experiments with the field signals, instituted under special instructions from this department, have developed results which promise to be of great value to the service. The proposed simple, strong, weighing but sixteen pounds, and so compact as to be rapidly carried from place to place by a soldier mounted or on foot, which requires for its use no knowledge of signs or of complete geographical map by some of the ablest men of science in America can be secured at a very reasonable rate of compensation. No similar plan is known to exist, and anything like an equal degree of economy, simplicity, and efficiency cannot be attained in the military academy at West Point, the report states that the adoption of a five instead of the four years' term of studies has proved advantageous, and recommends that the grammar and instruction being needed, no as not to crowd the course too much, the Secretary is directing the attention to that subject.

As an arbitrary reduction in last year's estimates of $200,000 was made by Congress, the Secretary has conformed this year's estimate to the standard of the last Congressional appropriation.

The whole number of deaths in the army in the year was one hundred and forty-one, reaches the sum of $13,-098,725 72. With the help of such legislation as is asked for the above sum would be materially reduced.

Large sums are necessarily and unavoidably expended every year for objects it is impossible to foresee or estimate for. During the past year the extra expenses incurred by the suppression of Indian hostilities in Washington and Oregon amounted to $298 539 11 beyond what would have occurred but for these wars. During the present year the call for troops to carry into effect the decision of the Utah controversy, and to protect emigrants in service not pertaining to the legitimate duties of the army. These sums amounted last year to over $70,000, so it will be seen that the appropriation of $670,000 asked for under the War Department for these objects will not be foreseen.

The Secretary thinks it is bad economy to refrain in the meantime from the purchase of such arms as the experience of the Indian wars has proved to be the most effective, and stands in the attitude of a menacing force towards a conquered and sullen people. I am satisfied that the preservation of right and dignity, and the maintenance of any jurisprudence known or recognized by the people of the United States, is impossible in that Territory. It is governed, practically, by a system which is in total opposition to the spirit and principles of the Constitution and laws of the land, by the precepts of religion, by the appeals of humanity, and by mercy, formed a conspiracy to make a sudden descent upon the people of Harper's Ferry, to rob the arsenal, plunder the public property, and stir up a servile insurrection. The plan darkened into the mode of executing it were such as to promise every hope of success. The conspirators rented a farm in the State of Maryland, within a few miles of Harper's Ferry, which they remained for some months, apparently following peaceful, probably useful pursuits; during which time they took effective measures to conciliate the kind feelings of the people of the adjacent country. They became perfectly familiar with all the localities of the place. Their warehouse, arsenals, and workshops; to that its contemplated seizure might be effected at any hour of the night without confusion or any unusual movement to excite the least attention. Having done this, they knew perfectly the universal and unsuspecting security of the entire population. Up to the night of that attack no one of them was known within the limits of Virginia who went to his bed with the slightest apprehension of danger from attack by citizens of the United States in any numbers from any region, or for any purpose whatever. The programme of the conspirators was perfected. Having also no apprehension whatever of the slave population (and this assurance was fully justified by the event), nothing could be more favorable for the successful operation of their diabolical design. The conspirators, having cut the telegraphic wires, they entered, under the cover of night, unmolested

4

WEEKLY JOURNAL

THURSDAY, DEC. 29, 1859.

☞Some of our seaport Southern cities are making active preparation for direct importations. We hope the movement will be followed up, for the aggregation and centralization of capital in the Northern cities must be regarded with as much suspicion as the concentration of political influence in the capital of the Union. The card on this subject published by the merchants of Charleston, S. C., is well written, and while they have no desire to undersate the energy and enterprise of the merchants of Northern cities, they claim to be able to supply goods in their respective lines on as favorable terms, both as to price and credit, as the merchants of any city in the Union. They have facilities for obtaining goods from Europe not surpassed by any, and their importations from abroad are very large, notwithstanding the reports to the contrary circulated by those who have no knowledge of what they affirm, or whose interests prompt them to mislead. American goods they obtain from the manufacturers on as favorable terms as any jobbing merchants of the Northern cities. Why, then, they ask, should they not be able to sell on as favorable terms as others? They therefore solicit the merchants of the interior to encourage their own seaport, for, if the Charleston business men cannot do what they profess, there will very little inconvenience be experienced, for the old plan can be fallen back upon at a few hours' notice.

Now, while we deeply regret all the appeals which have been made relative to non-intercourse with the North, believing them to be inoperative for any good result, we are most decidedly in favor of this Charleston movement, and hope to see it facilitated by Savannah, Mobile, New Orleans, &c. The more we diffuse the business of our country, the more closely will the integral parts of that country be united by common interests. The more the South relies on home and independence on the North, it is clear will damagogues be able to embarrass it with political issues; and the sooner the slave States come into competition with the free States for the carrying trade of the world's commerce, the more certainly will the irrepressible conflict between the two systems of labor be allayed. Direct importation is a measure of political economy for the South, which can be effected without impairing fraternal relations with the North or infringing any provision of the Constitution either in letter or spirit. We should prefer to see this effected by the force of public opinion and the patriotic determination of Southern citizens to support their own. The very idea of enacting discriminating laws against sister States is repulsive to our feelings: it made no part of the grand design of 1787 "to form a more perfect union" or to "promote the general welfare." We will not stop to argue how far the power of Congress to regulate commerce among the several States, or the surrendered State rights of laying export duties, and giving preference, by any regulation of commerce or revenue, to the ports of one State over those of another, would be fatal to such State legislation, but we desire to place Southern action on high principles of right, which will command the admiration of friends and coerce the respect of foes.

Before the unfortunate occurrences at Harper's Ferry had agitated the public mind, we had repeatedly called attention to the importance of building up domestic manufactures, and of direct importations, without paying enormous tribute to British agents and middlemen of the Northern cities. This can be done, and should be done, but there is no necessity for legislation on the subject; and, indeed, it would probably impede the very result we are desirous of attaining. Imagine, for the sake of showing the impolicy of discriminating acts, how greatly the power of the law would have to be increased to enforce them. How could Kentucky collect a tax on articles imported from her border States of Ohio, Indiana, or Illinois, without having an army of officers, whose pay would eat up the whole amount? Then, again, such a course might induce a spirit of retaliation, which would be deeply regretted and lead to interminable difficulties, whereas any arrangement made in the legitimate spirit of trade, by the spontaneous determination of business men, could not be attacked. Let us therefore have nothing done hastily. Calm deliberation and wise counsel are imperatively demanded, and when we invoke them, instead of wild excitement, we shall be laying broad and deep the foundations of the prosperity of the South, and uprearing a system which will endure for ages.

☞Everything we see and hear touching the rivalry between Guthrie and Breckinridge confirms the opinion that the friends of the latter intend to make a vigorous and determined fight over the question of instructions in the Ninth of January Convention. Amongst other recent indications pointing in this direction is the following from the Frankfort correspondence of the Cincinnati Enquirer:

Hon. James Guthrie was in the city last week, but I could learn of no movement resulting from his visit. His friends in the State are progressing in the county meetings, assimilating instructions, and constantly expecting instructions from the Ohio of January Convention. My opinion is that body will adopt no such course. It is opposed, as I learn, by Jesse Hise, by Mr. Breckinridge, and, indeed, by nearly all the prominent men of the party in the State. It is united from no feeling of hostility to Mr. Guthrie, nor any desire to promote the fortunes of any man, but from a sense of party policy. Tickets are made, but the Democracy in State Convention assembled will never send delegates to Charleston instructed to "vote first, last, and all the time" for any man. Approves of Mr. Buchanan's position in Kentucky is not made so one would infer from the public prints. He has the confidence and esteem of the people: is regarded as a man of intellect and integrity: but Democrats here—and I think with most from various portions of the State—laugh at the idea of there being such a popular enthusiasm for him as demands his presentation to the voters of Kentucky as the first and only choice of the State. He has not the hold on the affections of the people which gave to Mr. Breckinridge so enthusiastic a reception wherever he goes.

The author of this writes from the heart of the Breckinridge camp, and no doubt reflects accurately the tone and quality of feeling prevalent there on the question. He undoubtedly expresses the expectation and foreshadows the designs of the men whose legitimacy he adjures. We may look forward with great confidence to a lively struggle on the 9.h of January. It is likely that the Breckinridge men will contend, in the first instance, for a perfectly open delegation; and, that, failing in this, if they should fail, they will exert themselves to confine the instructions to a mere expression of confidence in Mr. Guthrie, a sort of positive negative resolution, which the delegates will or may understand as exhausting its force with the first ballot at Charleston. If the Breckinridge men accomplish either of these two purposes they will have carried their point. It is for the Guthrie men to say whether or not they shall be defeated in both. We command to either faction a prudent husbandry of its resources. Let each prepare for a tough fight, and go through with it bravely. Let us have no more "caving." In the name of the public we deprecate another such a fizzle as the one that signalized the Senatorial contest.

☞To the question "What action will Georgia take?" the Atlanta American returns an answer worthy of the noble cause in whose behalf it speaks. "In conclusion," the American says, "we wish it distinctly understood, if it is not sufficiently understood already, that we do not sympathize and will form no coalition with either the Democratic or Black Republican parties as now organized and led, and with their present principles. Both are sectional, both contain rabid disunionists, and the measures of both tend, we believe designedly, to dissolution. We know the masses do not so understand it, but be assured the more intriguing and prominent, active leaders do. So we oppose them, and shall do so to the utter end. We are for Southern Independence—we are for State independence—we give way to none in our desire for it, but we are for that golden union independence which can be as certainly secured in the Union as out of it; that independence which will also secure the peaceable enjoyment of all our rights. Hence we reply to the question "What action will Georgia take?" that she will disregard the senseless clamors of fanatics North and South, strengthen herself for any emergency by a judicious development of her resources, prepare to make her rights respected in the Union, or to take the position of a respectable and commanding nation out of it, should she be necessitated to go out. Conscious of her own great powers—and confiding in that power, and the integrity and patriotism of her people—she will not start with affright at every passing shadow, or tremble with fear if a neighboring State is invaded by twenty men aided by mad fanatics. She will wait for cause; then she will strike, and her blow will be felt. Humiliating resolutions, to be "hooked down" from as soon as a Democrat has been elected —even though it be Douglas or some one holding his views—will not blot her record; but, if it must come, she will come forth panoplied with right and gigantic might, fully prepared to meet all the dread issues the occasion may present!" This is the right spirit, and one of the most cheering signs of the times is the fact that it is rapidly spreading even in the extreme South. We hail with deep satisfaction the evidences on all sides that the ancient Democratic game of intimidation is at length soon through and despised. We may now look for better things.

☞The Democratic State Committee of Tennessee, through F. C. Dunnington as Chairman, has selected Wednesday, the 18th of January, as the time for the assembling at Nashville of the Democracy of Tennessee in convention, in order to appoint delegates to the national convention, to be holden at Charleston, for the nomination of a Presidential ticket, and to choose their electors for the State at large, and for its true friends of such other benders as may be deemed necessary. The committee earnestly recommend the Democratic masses through the State to take an active interest in the subject, in order to a full convention and an impartial representation of the popular sentiment of the State.

☞The New York papers say that Bourcicault has taken his dramatic plot of the Octoroon from Capt. Mayne Reid's novel of the Quadroon. We remember to have heard the novel criticised favorably as a glowing description of Southern life, and yet the drama is pronounced a gross libel on our society. Between these two extremes, where are we to find the truth?

☞Hon. Edmund Doherty, formarly a member of Congress from North Carolina, died at his residence in Montgomery county in that State on the 12th o December.

☞Colonel C. A. Moe has been recently elected chief engineer of the Knoxville and Kentucky Railroad Company, and work has been resumed on the Tennessee end of the line. An experimental survey of the route was made to Danville, but the former engineer advised the Company to carry the road through Lexington and Paris, and not through Danville, to secure an air-line to Cincinnati. With this view a charter was procured from the Legislature of Kentucky for the benefit of Cincinnati. The distance from Knoxville to the Kentucky State line is sixty miles, and from the State line to Harrisville one hundred. The part of the road lying in Tennessee will be constructed, but no work has been done in Kentucky. No survey has been made from the Tennessee line to Lebanon, although well-informed persons believe that a shorter and cheaper route to the Ohio river and the Northwest can be found in this direction. Knoxville is the centre of a rich agricultural and mineral region, with railroads through Virginia, Tennessee, and Georgia to Richmond, Nashville, Memphis, and Augusta. Upon the direct road, connecting Knoxville with Charleston, $2,750,000 have been expended, and applications for additional appropriations will be made to the Legislature of South Carolina, now in session. It is as important to the city of Louisville to secure a connection with Knoxville, by a rail road not exceeding one hundred miles in length, as it was to make the Nashville road, and we hope that the General Council or the Representatives in the Legislature will not permit the present session to pass away without procuring the necessary legislation.

AGRICULTURAL CONVENTION AND LECTURES AT YALE COLLEGE.—The Agricultural states that it is proposed to have what may be termed a great agricultural "protracted meeting" at Yale College during the present winter, to last throughout the whole month of February. The exercises are to consist of lectures by leading reliable men, eminent in the different departments of agriculture, horticulture, stock raising, etc. About eighty lectures are provided for—two to four each day—each lecture to be followed by conversational exercises, question to the lecturers, and discussions. Twenty or thirty gentlemen, well known in agriculture, beside the Professors in Yale College, are engaged to take part in the exercises as lecturers and teachers, while numerous other eminent agriculturists and horticulturists have expressed their intention to be present and participate in the discussions.

Among the lecturers are Lewis F. Allen, Cassius M.Clay, and Francis Rotch, on cattle; Sanford Howard and Dr. Gulliver, on horses; C. L. Flint and others, on the dairy; Hon. Marshall P. Wilder, Dr. C. W. Grant, Messrs. P. Barry and R. G. Pardee, on fruits: Prof. Porter on organic chemistry; Prof. S. W. Johnson on agricultural chemistry; Prof. B. Silliman, Jr., on meteorology; J Stanton Gould on grasses and irrigation; Judge French on drainage; Joseph Harris on cereals; Prof. Brewer on commercial plants, (flax, hemp, tobacco, etc;) Geo. B Emerson on forest trees; Dr. Comstock on fish culture; Donald G. Mitchell (Ik Marvel) on rural economy.

The whole is to be free, except the nominal charge of say ten dollars or less to meet the necessary expenses of lecturers and other incidentals. The occasion will doubtless be one of great interest to cultivators generally, and call together a large attendance from all parts of the country. Inquiries with reference to this project may be addressed to Prof. John A. Porter, New Haven, Connecticut

☞Among other wise saws which we find collated, is one to the effect that, "every act of apparent disorder, when contemplated aright, and taking in an immeasurable lapse of ages, is the most perfect order, wisdom, and love." If this be true, the deadlock in Congress must be a perfect exemplification of a good time coming, when Heaven's first law, which is order, will reign supreme.

☞The Washington correspondent of the Cincinnati Enquirer says:

If Mr. Douglas is not nominated, *as I believe he will be*, he is the friend, &c.

This is about as ambiguous as Douglas's prospects are.

☞In preparing for New Year's dinners, let genial dispositions and a determination to be happy constitute the chief ornaments of the table; for

Cheerful looks make every dish a feast.
And that crowns a welcome.

☞Brigham Young must have great competition as a prophet in Utah, since Messrs. Russell, Waddell, & Co. have a *big profit* on every mule sent out for Government.

☞United States coupon fives of 1874 are selling at New York for 103. Is there another 5 per cent. stock in the country above par? And yet foolish agitators talk of a speedy dissolution of the Union!

☞We suppose a newspaper reporter who should have occasion to chronicle the movements of Tom Thumb and his wife would of course do so under the head of "Personal Beerities."

Smart things lose their point when uttered at the expense of gentlemanly respect.—*Exchange.*

They certainly ought to, but unfortunately experience shows that they seldom do.

☞We have a government of laws, not of men; but the great difficulty seems to be the men won't obey the laws, and the laws can't reach the men.

☞A dinnion editor says he looks on the Journal with "suspicion." The Journal looks on him with contempt.

☞The members of Congress seem to doubt as to the Apostolic injunction to make their "calling and election sure."

WHO IS T. A. R. NELSON? — Parson Brownlow answers this question as follows:

Some unknown friend sends us an Illinois Douglas paper called the Herald, in which this question is asked, and which we answer all the time, but we find the additional question, "Brownlow, can you answer?"

Having fought shoulder to shoulder with Mr. Nelson, both in the Whig cause, for about a quarter of a century, we are able to say in general terms, who he is. THOMAS A. R NELSON, Esq., is the son of a plain, honest, uneducated, but vigorous mid dle class Irish gentleman, who died in the faith of a worthy member of the Methodist Church. Thomas was born in the county of Rane, now what is known as the Eskridge farm, say 34 miles west of Knoxville. He is the child of parents who had graduated in the University of East Tennessee, situated in the west end of this city, and read law here, in the office of the late Chancellor Williams, and in about 40 years of age. After obtaining a law license, he was elected by the legislature to the office of Commonwealth's attorney for the first district, where he has really been ever since. He ranks with those who are at the head of the legal profession in his native State, and is regarded by friends and foes as a man of talents and integrity, with as good a private character as any man in the State. He has never been at the Whig electoral candidate in his district, several times, and was successful in each contest. He never was a candidate before the people for office but once, and that was last summer, when he was successful against as fierce a combination as ever opposed any man, and in a district that has been lost to our party. He is as game as any man in Congress; being a member of the Church, he is not a bully, nor is he a quarrelsome man. For further particulars, we refer our Illinois contemporary to *Roger A. Pryor*, of the House of Representatives.

☞Erastus Brooke, writing from Washington to his paper, the New York Express, gives the following impressive contrast between the feelings of the National Representatives, on two celebrated occasions:

When John Quincy Adams presented a memorial, not many years gone by, in the House of Representatives, for the dissolution of the American Union, a prayer which he utterly opposed, but which he pressed solely in deference to the right of petition, one generous voice was raised for expulsion from all sides of the House. Nothing saved Mr. Adams from that indignity but a declaration of the prayer and an appeal for the natient hearing and judgment of the House. Now at first sight of the letter of yesterday, when a Georgian bearing the honored name of Crawford, amidst the solemn silence of the Representatives and two thousand spectators from all parts of the country, declared that he was for "no dependence now and independence forever," from every hand and association that bound him to the American Union!

DECISIONS OF THE COURT OF APPEALS.

FRANKFORT, Dec. 24, 1859.

CAUSES DECIDED.

Cundiff vs Eley. Bullitt; dissented for want of jurisdiction.

Wintersmith & Young vs. Polsley & Conway. Dudley; affirmed.

Polsley vs Hall. Bullitt; reversed.

Joyce vs Garr. Bullitt; affirmed.

Chandler vs Crowdus. Marshall; affirmed.

ORDER.

Herndon et al, vs Lindsey, Franklin; petition for rehearing overruled.

Toombs et al, vs Stone, Shelby;

Middleton vs Haley, Shelby;

Wells et al, vs Cowherd's heirs, Shelby;

Bullitt vs Taylor, Shelby;

Oneil vs Perin et al, Taylor;

Richeson vs Chandler, Taylor;

Chandler vs Taylor; were severally

Gaines vs Jordan, Shelby; argued by Bradley for appellee.

FRANKFORT, Dec. 27.

V. T Chambers, of Covington, and J. G. Barrett, of Louisville, were admitted attorneys in this court.

ORDER.

Hunter et al of color, vs Mosby et al, Henry; motion for security for costs.

Graves vs Brown's heirs, Bracken; petition for rehearing overruled; to file order in this case.

Pettit vs Pettit, Henry; death of appellee suggested and motion to revive.

Stribling vs the same, Henry; same order.

Foster vs same, Henry; same order.

Martin vs Martin's heirs; same order.

Ma shall vs Landers, Henry; same order.

Order of Sallee vs Sallee's heirs et al, Henry; set for 6th day of present term.

Pryor et al vs Sweigert et al, Henry; set for 40th day of present term.

Graves' adm'r vs Bush's adm'r, Boone; set for 4th day of January.

Connelly et al vs Hughes et al, Boone; same order.

Baker vs Mc Mahon et al, Boone; same order.

Ward vs Leathers, Gallatin; same order.

Shelton vs Perrin, Gallatin; same order.

Park vs Platt et al, Gallatin; motion; answer filed and submitted.

Skaggs vs Jones' adm'r, Henry;

Same vs same, Henry;

Wells vs Pelk, Henry; were argued.

Gaines vs Jordan, Shelby; argument continued by John M. Harlan for appellee.

FRANKFORT, DECEMBER 28.

CAUSES DECIDED.

Keaton & Co. vs Hunstock & Co., Mason; affirmed.

Richeson vs Chandler, Taylor; affirmed.

Smith vs Chandler, Taylor; affirmed.

Boyd vs Perin et al, Taylor; reversed.

Abrams vs Jones' adm'r, Henry; reversed.

Abrams vs Jones' adm'r, Henry; reversed.

ORDER.

Jarnett vs Butler, McCracken; judgment corrected.

Smith vs Pryor, Henry; Hogan, appellant to prosecute to 33d day of present term.

Simmons vs Ryan, Louisville Chancery; rule to 40th day of term as appellant to file record.

Sheen vs Tobin, Hardin; petition for rehearing filed

Jackson vs Pumphrey's, Hardin; same order.

Same vs Same, Farrell; same order.

Burr, Haight, & Wheeler vs Brown, Jefferson; appellee's brief filed.

King vs Pryor, Henry;

Lee vs Shepherdson, Jefferson;

Clarke vs Daniel, Jefferson;

Robinson vs Tevlee et al, Jeff roon;

Phillips ex Keiffer, Jefferson;

Hamilton vs Vail, Jefferson;

Louisville vs Hixon, Jefferson;

Grissom vs Price, Jefferson;

Roberts vs Martin, Jefferson;

Kite & Rupert vs Johnson, Jefferson; were argued.

Gaines vs Jordan, Shelby; argument concluded by James for appellant.

INDIANAPOLIS, Dec 28.

A man named Edward Orr was found dead in Pogue's Creek, in the eastern part of this city this morning. Upon examination it was found that he had been shot through the body twice. The coroner held an inquest on the body today, but the facts elicited give no clue to the murder.

XXXVIITH CONGRESS—FIRST SESSION.

Monday's Proceedings.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 26

House.—Mr. Moore, of Ky. (Mr. Smith, of Va., having resigned the floor), offered a resolution, which was read for information, that Alex. H. Botsler be declared Speaker of the House of the Thirty-Sixth Congress.

Mr. Bingham and Barksdale objected to the resolution as out of order.

Mr. McClernand moved a substitute, naming Mr. Davis, of Indiana.

The clerk said the resolution was not in order, several gentleman having objected, and besides other questions were pending.

Mr. Moore remarked he introduced the resolution honestly, hoping to meet the approbation of a majority of the House He trusted all had earnestly endeavored since the first day of the meeting to effect an organization and had been governed by the same honesty, candor, and frankness by which he himself was actuated.

Mr. Barksdale reminded Mr. Moore that the resolution had been objected to and the gentleman therefore had a right to debate.

Mr. Moore replied that Mr. Smith had yielded the floor to him.

Mr. Smith observed he did so for the purpose of hearing what Mr. Moore had to say.

Mr. Moore replied all he wanted the gentleman to hear was what he had to say.

Mr. Smith supposed what Mr. Moore had to say was embraced in the resolution, and he had no idea of yielding the floor for him to debate.

Mr. Moore was exceedingly grateful to the gentleman for permitting the resolution to be read. He had nothing further to say.

Mr. Kilgore appealed to Mr. Smith to forego his remarks till to-morrow, that the House might vote for a Speaker till two o'clock to day and then adjourn.

Mr. Barksdale suggested that the House adjourn now.

Mr. Smith was willing to yield the floor for that purpose.

Mr. Stewart, of Maryland, thought Mr. Smith had better conclude his speech now.

Mr. Smith, of Virginia, proceeded. He declared himself a citizen and loyal friend to the Constitution and Union. He has advocated no new or inflammatory doctrines; wanted no modern innovations; but to stand by the Constitution as penned by our fathers; he replied to the arguments of the Republicans, founded on the Declaration of Independence, that all men were created equal, by saying that instrument was framed by freemen, not slaves; it was freemen who proposed to sever relations with England and form an independent government. In the articles of confederation free negroes and slaves were not included. Then followed the adoption of the Constitution. Negroes were no parties to the formation of that instrument. The Constitution expressly provides for the return of fugitive slaves.

Mr. Smith went on to allude to cases occurring under that clause. Washington himself attempted to reclaim a fugitive slave. He quoted the case of Bigg vs Pennsylvania, and gave a history of events from the adoption of the Constitution to the present day, showing that all aggressions on the subject of slavery came from the North, and agitation resulting therefrom was justly chargeable to them. Particular reference was made to the Louisiana purchase, the admission of Missouri, the efforts of Henry Clay on that occasion; quoting authority from Jefferson, Madison, and Monroe against the Missouri restriction. Northern aggression did not originate in love for the negro, but love of political power.

During the speech some one sent him a glass of egg nog, which he drank, saying, "Merry Christmas to all."

Mr Kilgore inquired whether it was in order for the gentlemen to monopolize the drinking of eggnogg while the street was parched with thirst.

Mr. Smith said that was one of the constitutional privileges of his side of the House. [Laughter.]

A voice—I'd like to have some; I'm dry as thunder.

After further remark, Mr. Smith received another tumbler of eggnogg, of which he drank, bowing to the ladies in the gallery, creating much merriment.

Mr. Barnett rose to inquire whether this was a private treat or not. [Its owed laughter]

The Clerk said he would not undertake to decide that point, but would, if desired, put the question to the House. [Laughter.]

Mr. Moore, of Ky.—I move we adjourn, so that all may take the same kind.

Cries—No, go on.

Mr Smith—I was willing to adjourn this morning myself out of reverence for the day, but the party which regards the Sabbath as an innovation on popular liberty and treats Thanksgiving as a recreation, was not willing to manifest their respect for the birth of the Saviour of the world, and hence refused to adjourn. I then, in a Christian and reverential spirit, [laughter] engaged in a labor of love, endeavoring to enlighten the darkened understanding of that side of the House. But yet sir, I am willing to give way for adjournment.

I rise from no fire-Eating spirit.—[Laughter.]

Mr. Smith—If it be the pleasure of the House to adjourn I will yield.

Mr. Barnett—I move we adjourn I care nothing personally about it, but I think it right for the employees of this House. If there is a chance to elect a Speaker, I would be willing to vote.

The Republican side called for the yeas and nays on a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Morris, of Pa., suggested that Mr. Smith publish the remainder of his speech without delivery.

Mr. Moore, of Ky., desired the House to adjourn. If negroes were allowed a week for holidays, he wanted to know if gentlemen were to be kept there at hard labor all the time, and if they expected their doorkeepers to do the same. He would like to adjourn for a week at least, to have time to go home.

Mr Smith—I can't consent to any such motion—my speech will hurt. [Laughter.]

Mr. Moore withdrew his motion. The yeas and nays were ordered and the clerk commenced to call the roll.

Mr. Morris, of Ill.—I object to the gentleman's yielding the floor for a motion to adjourn.

Cries—I'm old-fashion come to vote, a response having been made.

Mr Morris—I holloaed as loud as I could and was not recognized. If objection came too late it was not my fault.

Mr. Washburn, of Me., hoped the motion to adjourn would be withdrawn, so that Mr. Smith could go on with his speech.

Mr. Barnett withdrew his motion.

Cries of "Call the roll."

Mr. Adrian, when his name was called, said he understood it would be three hours more before Mr. Smit h would finish his speech

Mr. Smith—I will be more than an hour.

The roll was called amid great confusion.

Mr. Webster, when his name was called, said hitherto he had always voted yea on a motion to adjourn, knowing the difficulty the country would be done when the House was not in session. Having been home and consulted his constituents, and being satisfied the crisis is past, he should now change his course and vote against it.

Mr. Hughes, believing the crisis not yet past, would vote yea, for the reason stated by his colleague.

Mr. Hill remarked that some distinguished man had said all things most have an end, and he presumed Mr. Smith's speech would. [Laughter.]

He would move that Mr. Smith be permitted to continue his remarks until the House is full, and that the House stand adjourned at their conclusion. [Excessive laughter.]

Mr. Smith rose indignantly. He did not see why the gentleman should wish to treat him in that way. He had yielded the floor day after day, and now, after he had indicated a wish from the beginning to gratify a number of gentlemen who wished to adjourn, there seemed to be a disposition to treat him with disrespect, and force him to speak to empty benches. He knew not why he was so treated, by friends as well as foes.

On motion, the reading of names was dispensed with. Gentlemen changed their votes, giving their reasons.

Mr. John Cochrane said: I wish to change my vote. I think it is a matter of solemn time [laughter] and I would sit here and pass it among the scientilies of this hall. I vote no.

Mr. Briggs desired Mr. Smith to give some reasonable guarantee how much more time he proposed to occupy. If he would continue to entertain the House during the holiday week, it would save the necessity for adjourning. [Laughter.]

A vote was announced—yeas 73, nays 123. So the House refused to adjourn.

Mr. Hill moved that no vote be taken for Speaker till 1 o'clock tomorrow.

Mr. Morris, of Ill.—I desire to take this opportunity to object to the gentleman from Virginia yielding the floor for any purpose unless he yields it unconditionally.

Mr. Harris, of Md, moved to adjourn. The Republican side called for the yeas and nays, which were ordered.

Mr. Smith—What is the use if the other side wont be satisfied?

Mr. Harris withdrew his motion. Several other motions were made and withdrawn, great confusion prevailing.

Mr. Bar ett moved to adjourn. The Clerk put the vote. Loud cries of "aye," mingling with calls for the yeas and nays.

The Clerk declared the House adjourned. Members retired in great glee.

Tuesday's Proceedings.

WASHINGTON, December 27.

Senate.—The President's annual message was brought in by the President's secretary.

Mr. Iverson, of Ga., moved that it be read.

Mr. Collamer, of Vt., objected, as the understanding among the Senators was that no business should be done during the Christmas week.

The question was debated by Messrs. Davis, Fessenden, Bayard, and others, when the motion of Mr. Iverson prevailed; yeas 18, nays 12.

The Secretary of the Senate then read the message.

Mr. Clingman, of N. C., proposed to postpone the consideration of the message till January 31.

Mr. Bright, of Ind., said that it would be better to adopt that immediately. He therefore moved that the message and documents be printed, but asked that his motion might lie over until after the holidays, as the Senate had no Printer yet.

This course was pursued, and the Senate adjourned till Friday next.

House.—The message of the President was received.

Mr. Cochrane, of New York, moved that it be laid on the table until the House effects an organization.

Mr. Craig, of North Carolina, moved to amend this motion so that the message be received and read.

Mr. Cochrane accepted the amendment. Much excitement prevailed in consequence, the Republican members calling for the yeas and nays.

A call of the House was ordered.

Mr Stanton, of Ohio, argued that there was no precedent for reading the message when the House was not organized.

Mr. Craig then withdrew his amendment and the original motion was then agreed to.

Mr. Smith, of Virginia, then resumed his remarks on the slavery question, having to go to it history for years past, and causing numerous long extracts to be read in support of his position.

Mr. Houston, of Alabama, offered a resolution proposing a temporary Speaker for ten days, nothing to be done in the meantime except the passage of a bill to meet the deficiencies and liabilities of the Postoffice Department, when the office shall become vacant and the House proceed to the election of a Speaker.

The Republican side of the House objected to the introduction of the resolution.

Mr. Houston wanted it made known that the objection came from the Republicans, who pretend great sympathy for the Government mail contractors, but are not willing to adopt a measure with a view to their relief.

Mr. Washburn, of Illinois, said that they would accept the resolution if John Sherman's name was inserted in the blank.

Mr. Washburn, of Maine, wished it to be known that the Republicans want to elect a Speaker for the whole term.

The roll of the House was called preliminary to a vote for Speaker. The House then proceeded to the 226 ballo', with the following result:

Whole number of votes, 208; necessary to a choice, 105; Sherman, 101; Scott, of Cal., 17; Houston, 15; Grimm, 14; Brock, 14; Maclay, 12; scattering, 35.

Wednesday's Proceedings Concluded.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 28.

House.—Mr. Hardeman, of Ga., wished to organize the House on an organic basis, and was willing to vote for any conservative man in opposition to the Republican party, and for the good of the country. [Applause.]

Mr. Rust said that there must be concession—that there were members in the Southern Opposition that he would gladly vote for.

Mr. Hill said that neither he nor his associates would feel so shocked as to go out of the Union if an anti Lecompton and English Democrat should be chosen Speaker of the House. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. Cox) had stated his insuperable objection to voting for a South American, and had excused himself for not having given aid and comfort to that party, now, said Mr. Hill, that we can get along without him. [Laughter.] You will not make a Speaker on the basis of the proposition offered, because this is not the time.

Mr. Hill remarked that he considered Mr. Cox to say that he looked on the Southern Opposition party and the Republicans as identified in their opposition to the Democratic party.

Mr. Cox said he meant as to the matter of slavery in the Territories and the admission of States. He understood the Southern Opposition to advocate intervention by Congress to protect slavery, and the Republicans as claiming to exercise Congressional power in prohibiting slavery in the Territories; both these parties favor the reopening of this agitating question in Congress, while the Democratic party says to both, non-intervention.

Mr. Branch, of Texas, said that he had heard that there was a party here willing to support men in the South who were not Know-Nothings; in order to test it he nominated Mr. Maynard, of Tenn. He did this on his individual responsibility. Maynard was outside of all organizations and was a national and conservative man.

Mr. McRae asked whether the political friends of Breckinridge will vote for Maynard.

Mr. Branch could only speak for himself.

The roll was called, and during the voting numerous explanations were made.

Mr. Ashmore stated that he was the first Democrat who abandoned Breck, and that he did so in consequence of the latter's declaration that he would not vote for Maynard.

Mr. Florence stated that, bitterly opposed as he was, first, last, and all the time, to sectional organization and to Sherman, whom Union loving sentiments met his entire approbation.

Mr. English asked if he had not voted for Gilmer.

Mr. Haskins replied that he voted for Gilmer because the Thirty-Fifth Congress he stood alongside of himself and Sherman in opposition to the policy of the Administration, which English brought to bear by his bill; that, in his judgment, was the question still before the last session of Congress. [Laughter]

Mr. English called attention to the fact that Gilmer himself voted for the English bill. [Laughter]

Mr. Haskins replied that when Gilmer voted for the English bill, it stated that it was a shilly-shally, namby-pamby, nasty kind of a bill. [Great laughter.]

Mr. Logan, when his name was called, stated that inasmuch as he was not willing to make him a general slaughter house of the Northwestern Democracy, he voted for Breck.

Mr. McRae said that several members of the American party having voted against Maynard, he should do the same and vote for Scott.

Mr. Morris, of Ill., said that he had voted for Breck, Milson, and others, but thought that his sincerity than defeat, and hence he had his full strength tested, and now voted for him.

Mr. Scott voted for Maynard on the assurance that he was an Old Line Whig and did not belong to the American party.

Mr. Barclay voted against the appropriation of the Democratic party, and now voted for him.

Mr. Hill remarked that some distinguished man had said all things must have an end, and he presumed Mr. Smith's speech would. [Laughter.]

He would move that Mr. Smith be permitted to continue his remarks until the House is full, and that the House stand adjourned at their conclusion. [Excessive laughter.]

Mr. Smith rose indignantly. He did not see why the gentleman should wish to treat him in that way. He had yielded the floor day after day, and now, after he had indicated a wish from the beginning to gratify a number of gentlemen who wished to adjourn, there seemed to be a disposition to treat him with disrespect, and force him to speak to empty benches. He knew not why he was so treated, by friends as well as foes.

On motion, the reading of names was dispensed with. Gentlemen changed their votes, giving their reasons

Mr. John Cochrane said: I wish to change my vote. I think it is a matter of solemn time [laughter] and I would sit here and pass it among the scientilies of this hall. I vote no.

Mr. Briggs desired Mr. Smith to give some reasonable guarantee how much more time he proposed to occupy. If he would continue to entertain the House during the holiday week, it would save the necessity for adjourning. [Laughter.]

A vote was announced—yeas 73, nays 123. So the House refused to adjourn.

Mr. Hill moved that no vote be taken for Speaker till 1 o'clock tomorrow.

Mr. Morris, of Ill.—I desire to take this opportunity to object to the gentleman from Virginia yielding the floor for any purpose unless he yields it unconditionally.

Mr. Harris, of Md, moved to adjourn. The Republican side called for the yeas and nays, which were ordered.

Mr. Smith—What is the use if the other side wont be satisfied?

Mr. Harris withdrew his motion. Several other motions were made and withdrawn, great confusion prevailing.

Mr. Bar ett moved to adjourn. The Clerk put the vote. Loud cries of "aye," mingling with calls for the yeas and nays.

The Clerk declared the House adjourned. Members retired in great glee.

Tuesday's Proceedings.

WASHINGTON, December 27.

Senate.—The President's annual message was brought in by the President's secretary.

Mr. Iverson, of Ga., moved that it be read.

Mr. Collamer, of Vt., objected, as the understanding among the Senators was that no business should be done during the Christmas week.

The question was debated by Messrs. Davis, Fessenden, Bayard, and others, when the motion of Mr. Iverson prevailed; yeas 18, nays 12.

The Secretary of the Senate then read the message.

Mr. Clingman, of N. C., proposed to postpone the consideration of the message till January 31.

Mr. Bright, of Ind., said that it would be better to adopt that immediately. He therefore moved that the message and documents be printed, but asked that his motion might lie over until after the holidays, as the Senate had no Printer yet.

This course was pursued, and the Senate adjourned till Friday next.

House.—The message of the President was received.

Mr. Cochrane, of New York, moved that it be laid on the table until the House effects an organization.

Mr. Craig, of North Carolina, moved to amend this motion so that the message be received and read.

Mr. Cochrane accepted the amendment. Much excitement prevailed in consequence, the Republican members calling for the yeas and nays.

A call of the House was ordered.

Mr Stanton, of Ohio, argued that there was no precedent for reading the message when the House was not organized.

Mr. Craig then withdrew his amendment and the original motion was then agreed to.

Mr. Smith, of Virginia, then resumed his remarks on the slavery question, having to go to its history for years past, and causing numerous long extracts to be read in support of his position.

Mr. Houston, of Alabama, offered a resolution proposing a temporary Speaker for ten days, nothing to be done in the meantime except the passage of a bill to meet the deficiencies and liabilities of the Postoffice Department, when the office shall become vacant and the House proceed to the election of a Speaker.

The Republican side of the House objected to the introduction of the resolution.

Mr. Houston wanted it made known that the objection came from the Republicans, who pretend great sympathy for the Government mail contractors, but are not willing to adopt a measure with a view to their relief.

Mr. Washburn, of Illinois, said that they would accept the resolution if John Sherman's name was inserted in the blank.

Mr. Washburn, of Maine, wished it to be known that the Republicans want to elect a Speaker for the whole term.

The roll of the House was called preliminary to a vote for Speaker. The House then proceeded to the 226 ballo', with the following result:

Whole number of votes, 208; necessary to a choice, 105; Sherman, 101; Scott, of Cal., 17; Houston, 15; Grimm, 14; Brock, 14; Maclay, 12; scattering, 35.

Wednesday's Proceedings Concluded.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 28.

House.—Mr. Hardeman, of Ga., wished to organize the House on an organic basis, and was willing to vote for any conservative man in opposition to the Republican party, and for the good of the country. [Applause.]

Mr. Rust said that there must be concession—that there were members in the Southern Opposition that he would gladly vote for.

Mr. Hill said that neither he nor his associates would feel so shocked as to go out of the Union if an anti Lecompton and English Democrat should

WASHINGTON, Dec. 25.

A large number of the mail contractors have informed and continue to inform the Department that unless Congress makes an appropriation early in June for the payment of what is due, they cannot continue service, which has been maintained by them in a manner altogether satisfactory to the Department, but at great sacrifices. Their credit is now exhausted. Should they surrender their contracts, the extraordinary expenses of restoring the service will amount to not less than a million dollars, probably more. Their expectations that Congress would promptly pass a bill for their relief have been disastrously disappointed. The Department has the money to pay all the mails now due for the quarter ending with September, but not the legal authority for that purpose. The expenses of the current quarter are not due till February. Over four millions are required on account of arrearages for the year ending with last June. The statements of indebtedness to contractors, of which they have raised money to the amount of two million dollars, are in the hands of persons in this city.

Reliable advice just received here from Mexico state that the reverses of the Constitutionalists were not as disastrous as newspaper accounts represent after General Degollado's defeat in the vicinity of Queretaro. He purposely retreated beyond San Louis Potosi, considering it more important for future operations to take the position at Malinala. Previous to his disaster, as official reports show, he had an interview with Miramon, with a view of amicably settling their differences, and, during which, Miramon admitted that the Reactionists could not in the end triumph, and that while he was in favor of liberal principles he could not openly espouse them without rendering himself obnoxious to the charge of being a traitor to his party, in whose service he was engaged. This admission having reached the Church party, had created much indignation against him, and, therefore, the report which prevailed at Vera Cruz at the time the Tacoubaya left that a revolution in the City of Mexico in favor of Maximo was meditated, was regarded as of significant importance.

The treaty recently made with the Juarez Government will probably be received at the State Department to-day. A question has been raised in regard to the validity, it must be ratified by the Mexican Congress as well as by the Senate of the United States; but this is answered by the fact that in 1857, a certain provision of the Mexican Constitution were suspended, and the Executive clothed with full power to take such measures as were necessary to raise funds for the defence of the country. The treaty provides for the payment of money to Mexico, it will be regarded as a